Of

WITNESSES

Prosecution's Witnesses	Page
Blackburn, Arthur Seaforth, Brigadier, Australian Imperial Forces (resumed)	11612
Cross by Mr. Brooks (contid)	11612
("itness Blackburn excused)	11628

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

	Doc.	Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	In <u>Evidence</u>
,	823B(1)	1284		Foreign Office Announcement 1940 (Excerpt from exhibit No. 777 for Identification) April 15, 1940: Foreign Minister ARITA Replies to Newspaperman on the Question of the Netherlan East Indies	it - o	11671
	823B(2)	1285		Foreign Office Announcement 1940 (Further excerpt from exhibit No. 777 for Identification) May 11, 1940: Statement of the Foreign Office Spokes re the Maintenance of Statement Quo of the Netherlands Extendies	om r f sman atus	11674

Of

EXHIBITS

	No.	Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
•	823B(3)	1286		Foreign Office Announcements 1940 (Excerpt from exhibit No. 777 for Identi- fication) May 13, 1940: Statement of the Foreign Office Spokesman re the Netherlands East Indies	n	11676
	250N	1287		Press Release issued by the Department of State on 11 May, 1940		11679
	220P	1288		Excerpts from Memorandum by the Secretary of State in Washington, dated 16 May 1940		11680
	250W	1289		Excerpt from Telegram from the United States Ambassador in the Soviet Union to the United St tes Secretary of State, dated 24 March 1941		11686
	487	1290		Book entitled: "Addresses to Young Men" by the Accused HASHIMOTO	11689	
	487B	1290A		Excerpt therefrom		11689
	1644	1291		Statement of the Imperial Japanese Government re the Future of East Asia, dated 3 November 1938		11695

Of

EXHIBITS

	Doc. No.	Pros.	Def.	Description For Iden	In t. Evidence	
3	220R	1292		Telegram from the United State: Secretary of State to the United States Ambassador in Japan, dated 22 June 1940	11702	
	2208	1293		Memorandum by the United States Ambassador in Japan, dated 24 June 1940	11705	
	1632FF	-1294		Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 27 June 1940 (Exhibit 178 previously marked for Identification)	11708	
4	1632GG	1295		Entry from Merquis KIDO's Diary dated 1 July 1940 (Exhibit 178 previously marked for Identification)	11710	
,	2201	1296		Oral Statement by the Japan- ese Minister for Foreign Affairs to the United States Ambassador to Japan on 28 June 1940	11711	
	823B(4)	1297		Foreign Office Announcements 1940 (Excerpt from exhibit No. 777 for Identification August 1 1940: Announcement by the Japanese Government	n) 11714	
	1632НН	1298		Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary, dated 10 August 1940 (Exhibit 178 previously marked for Identifi- cation)	11717	

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Pros.	Def. No. Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
587	1299	Article by OSHIMA, Hiroshi, Lt. General, former Ambassador to Germany, appearing in 27 October 1940 edition of YOMIURI Newspaper	11728	
587-A	1299-A	Excerpt therefrom	11/20	33004
20045				11734
1204E	1300	Address of Mr. Yosuke MATSUOKA, Minister for Foreign Affairs at the 76th Session of the Im- perial Diet dated 21 Janual	ry	11740
2748/	1301	Certificate from the Japan- ese Foreign Office certify prosecution documents 2748 to 2748A(20)	ing A(1)	11742
2748A (11)	1302	Telegram from Foreign Hinister MATSUOKA to the Japanese Delegate YOSHIZAW in Batavia dated 28 Januar 1941	Λ y	11742
1632W(47)	1303	Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary dated 1 February 1941 (Exhibit 178 previous: marked for Identification)	ly	11743
4038D	1304	Memorandum for the German Fore Minister re German-Japanese Questions dated Berlin 21 March 1941	e ig n e	
		Hardu TA4T		11748

Of

EXHIBITS

No.	Pros.	Dof. No.	Description	For Ident.	In <u>Eviden</u> c
2137F	1305		Decision by Imperial Head- quarters in April 1941 (Exhibit 540 previously marked for Identification)		11751
2137Н	1306		Re Acceleration of Measures in the South, "Decision of Liaison Conference" dated 25 June 1941 /Showa 16/		11753

-	
	Tuesday, 3 December, 1946
2	'
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
5	FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
6	War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	Appearances:
12	For the Tribunal, same as before.
13	For the Prosecution Section, same as
14	before with the addition of: MRS. C. R. STROOKER,
15	Member of the Netherlands Division.
16	
17	For the Defense Section, some as before. The Accused:
18	
19	All present except OKAWA, Shumei, who is
20	represented by his counsel.
21	
22	(English to Japanese and Japanese
23	to English interpretation was made by the
24	Language Section, IMTFE.)
25	

Goldberg & Spratt

MARSHAL QF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session. THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

The amendments of the transcript sought by Major Blakeney and Captain Brooks, having been found to be in order, will be made. The same applies to amendments sought by Mr. Brannon.

Captain Brooks.

ARTHUR SEAFORTH BLACKBURN, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and testified as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BROCKS:

Now, Brigadier, at the close of yesterday's session we started to discuss the principles of Bushido and its warriors' moral code. Based on your readings, would you say that this warriors' moral code outlined the proper conduct for rilitary forces?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is whether the Japanese observed the rules of warfare; in other words, whether they committed the offenses specified in the Indictment. Bushido has no bearing.

MR. BROOKS: The purpose, your Honor, of my questioning on that was to show that although the

Japanese were not bound necessarily by the Geneva Convention, that the teachings of Bushido were based upon the teachings of Confucius; and in the abstract sense comprise the essential qualities of the customs of war and the principles of the Geneva Convention.

THE THESIDENT: If Bushido is identical with the rules of warfare, why not confine your questions as to whether the rules of warfare were observed. We certainly have no interest in discovering whether Bushido is identical with the rules of warfare.

MR. BROOKS: I thought it would be wise to bring out that if there was such a principle and it was just -- whether it was observed or not would be another thing. It was a code, though, of some type for them to follow; it may explain some things. It might explain some of the statements of these officers when they said they were applying the principles of Bushido. It would be wise to know what they were; whether they were or not is another question.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, Bushido was mentioned to him by the Japanese. He has read a book on Bushido, but he disclaims any knowledge of it, as I understand. In any event, it is not in issue, nor is

4

33 1

14

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

it relevant to any issue. So we will not hear any more about it.

BY MT. BROOKS (Continued):

Q Now, Brigadier in these areas -- you say there were certain areas to be set aside and that the men were to be shot if found outside of said areas. It is a custom in handling prisoners of war to set down certain restrictions, is it not?

That happened in Java, and in my opinion it was a perfectly proper thing to do; and in the circumstances would be the only thing to do where they were unable to put us behind barbed wire at the time.

Q Now, Brigadier, on this question of the 15 camp conditions in Mukden, you said they were the best during the period of your confinement -- Manchuria, I mean, up in the Manchurian Camp. Was there any outstanding examples there of proper treatment by camp commanders or guards that you would cite that would be worthy of praise?

A Yes. On the general conditions of that camp the following points emerged: first, the sentries were in general kept on the outside perimeter of the wire and were not made frequently to parade through our quarters; secondly, for the first few

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

few morths, we were not compelled to salute all Japanese sentries; thirdly, the camp had a building constructed as a hospital, which was handed over to us; and, if I may summarize it by saying that for the first few months, the attitude of the officers in that camp towards us was dignified.

Now, Brigadier, during your period of stay in these various camps, did you ever receive any copies of the rules for the care of prisoners of war or become familiar with any of the Japanese rules for the care of prisoners of war?

A Do you mean written rules?

Q I am not talking about local rules necessarily.

I am talking about the general rules for the conduct --

A Do you mean written rules?

Q Yes.

A I received a two-page list of offenses and the punishments which would be incurred for any of those offenses -- if that is what you mean, if that covers what you mean

That isn't exactly what I mean. That is more what I would term as a local rule, applying to local circumstances, though it may have been in general terms. What I have in mind are rules as to the administration of prisoners by the Japanese

5

6

10

8

11

12

13

15

17

20 21.

19

24 25

such as in the Japanese Army regulations, a prohibition forbidding the beating of prisoners, telling how they would be fed and so forth. Have you ever seen any code like that?

No, I don't recollect ever receiving any such written series of rules of conduct or procedure.

Did you ever see any of the Japanese Army regulations that have been translated into English dealing with the care of prisoners?

Again, only this two-page list of what we must not do and the punishments if we did do it.

You know, thrugh, however, that there were certain Japanese laws and orders regarding the care of prisoners of war in written form, do you not?

I assume that there must have been, but the nearest I ever got to seeing it was that Lieutenant SONIE in the Batavia Camp quoted to me once from a book which he had in front of him on a question of discipline.

Then would you say that the Japanese guards and officers -- that it would depend upon their interpretation of their power or authority, under these rules regarding the conduct and care of the prisoners that they would give?

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks, unless you

resort to short, clear questions, the translations will take most of our time.

Q Brigadier, would you say that some of these wards and officers observed the rules and laws more by the breach than the observance? This would not apply to all of ther.

THE FRESIDEPT: Well, I do not know whether the witness understands what you are driving at, but none of us does. Are you suggesting that Tokyo distributed the Ceneva Conventions relating to prisoners among the Ja arese, but the local Japanese out their own construction on them?

MR. BRCCKS: I am suggesting that the commanders in the field had a broad authority of interpretation of general principles laid down and are supposed to follow that.

THE THESIDENT: Brigadier, tell us what you know about that, will you?

THE WITFESS: Do you mean the rules of the Gereva Convention were more --

MR. BROOKS: No, at that time I was talking more about the Japanese laws and orders for the conduct of prisoners. I withdraw the question.

Q You have testified as to the certain conditions being better, and, therefore, I would like to ask if

the care and the punishment of the prisoners really depended or the disposition, attitude and interpretation or disregard for prisoner of war rules by the guard or the officer in charge?

A I can only say that the only time there was any marked improvement was the first few months in Manchuria, and on the way there we were informed by a Japanese captain that we were going to receive better treatment because there had been a change of policy from the government in Tokyo; and those better conditions lasted from October, 1944, to February, 1945.

Q What was the date that this Japanese cantain told you that there would be a change in nolicy?

A Between the 1st and the 5th of October, 1944, in Beppu.

Q Did he say there was to be a change of melicy or that there had been a change of molicy at that time?

A He said there had been a charge of policy and that was the reason we were receiving -- then we had just started the first few days to receive better treatment.

Q Did he say whether it had any cornection with

the Cabinet change in July of 1944 of that year? 1 He did not say so, and at that time I did 2 not know there had been that change in the government. 3 Q Do you recall what this Japanese captain's 4 name was? A No, but he was the captain in charge of the 6 section of military police which took charge of us the day we arrived in Beppu. We were there for 8 five days. Where was his headquarters at that time, 10 Brigadier? Where was the headquarters of this 11 12 captain? 13 We were taken to a comfortable, clean --14 Q No. 15 I am trying to explain this -- to a comfortable, 16 clean Japanese hotel in Beppu. He and his men then 17 took charge of us, and he occupied one of the rooms 18 alongside us. 19 Q Was there any number designating his unit, 20 any way we could locate that man, any other infor-21 mation you can give? 22 A I can give you no name or number, but he 23 talked Erglish and was in charge of the generals! 24 group in Beppu between those days -- the police in

charge of the generals' group in Berpu in those days.

h allen o

Q Now, Brigadier, you have stated something
about the taking of the bu tons off your clothes.
asn't the buttons taken off of all prisoners clothes
and also shoestrings and belts removed, for security
purposes?

A No, that is the only occasion I have known that happen.

Q You are not familiar that there is a Japanese regulation covering the removal of such articles?

A No.

Q Now, you testified that there were, I believe, 350 rams of foodstuff, approximately, given to you in your ration.

A would you --

9 I may have been mistaken, but I understood you had testified that there were 350 grams of foodstuff in your day's ration; is that correct or not?

A I think what I said was that on one occasion the basic food ration was reduced to 375 grams per officer.

O Do you know that at that time that the food gram allowance in Japan was 300 grams, and that today it is only 350?

THE PRESIDENT: What was the Japanese food scale at that time?

THE WITNESS: I do not know, but our ration prior to this reduction had been between 550 and 600.

THE PRESIDENT: What bearing has the Japanese ration here in Tokyo today got on these issues?

MR. BROOKS: That in determining what is sufficient we have to determine whether we are talking of the quantity of food or bulk, or the calorie content, if any. In using those terms loosely they are very misleading, because even a full calorie content of food for the Japanese might not be sufficient in the quality or in the quantity or the type that a man is accustomed to and could still be said to be insufficient.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, the Japanese, as the Brigadier says, set their own standard. They have been entitled to do so within their own limits, and they fixed it between 500 and 600. So the ration today in Tokyo has no bearing on the issue.

MR. BROOKS: Except in comparison, your Honor.

We understand that the conventions do not provide that the Japanese were under duty to provide a better or a higher standard, but similar to that they had for their own people in food.

T'E PRESIDEIT: Nobody said anything about that. I said that the Japanese set the standard and they didn't follow it. I have said that three times now. You are

2

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

not listening, apparently.

MR. BROOKS: Of course, if they have the power to set it, your Fonor, they have the power to change it, I would think.

Now, Mr. Witness, on this oath that you were required to sign, this was not an oath of allegiance, was it?

A Are you referring to the first one in Batavia, or the one in Formosa?

O Either one of them.

A In no sense war it an oath of allegiance to Japan.

C. Then it was really only an oath of obedience to carry out your duties efficiently and to do nothing detrimental to the administration of that particular officer. It didn't contemplate anything that would interfere with your allegiance to your country.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, that is argument.

O In both instances, I mean. It wasn't an oath of allegiance-

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

IR. BROOKS: Sir?

THE PRESIDENT: You heard me speak; and you respect me when I do.

I said you were arguing with the witness. He

·(a

14

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

told you what the oath was and why be objected to signing it.

MR. BROOKS: Will the reporter read back what the witness told me that the oath was? I didn't catch it, if he did.

(Whereupon, the enswer was read by the official court reporter as follows:

"A In no sense was it an oath of allegiance to Japan.")

Nr. Witness, if this oath of obedience was a customary procedure with the military government and was nothing that would be in the sense of a prohibitive oath that is provided by the convertion that allegiance or anything interfering with your ellegiance was not provided to be signed.

MR. JUSTICE TAPSFIELD: I object, if this
Tribunal pleases, on the ground that it is a matter for
this Tribunal as to whether it was in conflict with the
Geneva Convention. The witness has given evidence in
chief of what the contents of the oath actually were.

TWE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

MR. BROOKS: I would have liked to state to the Court that the man'is a barrister and a brigadier and should know how to advise these people. But we will

drop that.

THE PRESIDENT: You must know that even a barrister or a brigadier cannot take over the Court's functions and decide questions that the Court must decide.

MR. BROOKS: I was thinking, your Monor, not in the light of today, as being today, but he did have to make his decision at that time and what his basis was for it.

o Now, Brigadier, do you know of any other camp commenders in these various theatres that you were in who were praised instead of accused by former prisoners and where the few acts of brutality were severely punished?

A I know of no such cases within my own personal knowledge.

Then, these instances of ill-treatment, would vou say, were individual acts of individual responsibility, not following out an order of any kind; or do you know of any order that such men would be morking under in such treatment?

A I have said already that certain junior officers told us that they regretted the acts of brutality but had no power to control them because it was under orders. But I don't imagine for a moment that

each individual sentry on each occasion that he beat up a prisoner received a direct order to go and beat up that prisoner.

Q Who did they say these orders came from?

A Either -- you understand this, my memory is rather vague over this as to the exact words. The

impression given was that it was the orders of the authorities controlling the prisoner of war camps. I think that in one case the word "government" was used. But I interpreted that to mean the administrative system.

- O Administrative system where?
- A The prisoner of war administrative system.
- O You mean your local system, or the system of the higher headquarters?

A Frankly, I don't know that I have considered that. The second in command of the camp in one case told us that he much regretted the brutelities that were going on but he could do nothing to stop it because those were the orders.

Q You mean those were the orders not to stop these brutalities?

A No, to commit the brutelities.

9 You mean the orders, then, as I gather from your conversation with this officer, were that he had

2

4

7

-

10

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

vere instructed from higher headquarters, possibly Tokvo, to commit brutslities. Yow, is that your contention, Mr. Witness?

A That I mean is that 'e convoyed to me that the orders were that sentwice were to be at liberty to punish physically, by assaults or otherwise, any prisoner that they saw fit to numish, and he, as the second in command of the camp, had no power to control it or stop it. That particular incident areas because I went to him and protested over the fact that is about an hour no less than I think it was for watwo men had been beaten up, two of when had to be removed to hospital for treatment.

O Now, I gether, Prigadier, that in that this officer was stating to you that this very action was at the discretion of the individual guard or the man on the spot, depending upon the circumstances?

A That is not what I mathered. I gathered that what he meant to convey to me was that the method of punishment of prisoners of war was for the sentries to assault them without any trial.

Is your memory clear or exactly what he did say to you, not what you interpreted?

A I don't pretend to remember the exect words.

He conveyed to me his personal regret and conveyed to 1 me that he was unable to interfere with it because that was the system. 3 That was the system. In other words, that 4 it was within this sentry's or guard's authority, and 5 he did not want to interfere with it, is that correct? 6 A No, that it was within the guard's authority, 7 but he did wish to interfere with it but couldn't. 8 That is right. Now, what was the name of 9 10 this commander? 11 A Bither Lieutenant K.PAKURY of KITANTPA, I 12 can't now remember which, second in command of the 13 Cycle Camp, Batavia. 14 Q That date? 15 From about May 1942 to, I think he left in 16 September or October 1942. To was an infentry 17 officer who told me that he was leaving the camp to 18 rejoin his unit. 19 These incidents, then, that you are speaking 20 of all happened prior to this change of policy? A (Witness nods 'ead.) 22 ITR. BROOKS: No other cross-examination, wour 23 Monor. 24

25

THE PRESIDE T: Fr. Justice Mansfield.

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: No re-examination,

if the Cribunal please. THE PRESIDENT: Mou are released, Brighdier, on the usual terms. You may go back to Australia on those terms. (Therounon, the witness was croused.) THE PRESIDENT: Ur. Miggins. MR. HIGGINS: Mr. Justice Borgerhoff Mulder, associate prosecutor from the Kingdom of the lether-lands, will have charge of the mosecution's case as it relates to the Japanese aggressions as inst the Notherlands. I present Fr. Borgerhoff Hulder.

M or se

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUMMINGHAM: If it please the Tribunal:

The defendant represented by this counsel objects to the introduction of the Netherlands phase of the case by the counsel for the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands, and objects to their participation in this trial for the reasons to follow:

Nothing in this record of this case officially or otherwise grants this Court jurisdiction to permit presentation of the Netherlands phase of the case by any other than American counsel, if any authority exists for that;

That the Appointing Authority has no rower over Dutch forces and, therefore, no jurisdiction to enforce trial of offenses to their national honor and dignity or the violation of the rules of land warfare against their armed forces;

That the Appointing Authority has no nower over prosecutors of the Netherlands; neither has the Chief of Counsel authority to delegate responsibility to them;

That no oath of office or notice of ampointment or letters of authority have been filed in these proceedings by the Netherlands prosecution staff, as is required in all courts appointed by the Supreme

Commander under his congressional or presidential authority;

That the Netherlands Government was not a party to the Potsdam Agreement and is, therefore, not a proper party to these proceedings; that the terms at Potsdam cannot be enlarged upon or extended to any nation not a party to that agreement;

That the views expressed in the Indictment and in the opening statement of this phase of the case do not represent those of the leaders of the Dutch last Indies but of the Imperial Government of the Netherlands which was in exile at the time the acts complained of occurred and, thus, not a legally constituted government under international law but a government functioning in exile;

The defendant is entitled to know by what authority the communit of the Netherlands Government is pressed against him and the nature of the amointment of the prosecutors and the government to whom they are responsible;

The prosecution here is attempting to prove the crime "Charge against Humanity," and strict proof of the right of the prosecution and evidence of the nature of the appointment and the extent of the representation is required by international

law;

3

5

6

9

11

1.2

23

14

15

18

19

21

22

24

23

25

To permit them to prosecute this cause before a tribunal created by the American Supreme Allied Commander would constitute fatal error and require vacation of the proceedings if the record stands or

I might say that I exceed these objections to loom to greater proportions on this prese of the case progresses and that is why I raised the cuestion before the prosecutor made his overing statement

(Thereupon, Mr. Higgins are coache

the lectern)

it now stands.

THE PROGIDENT: We don't want to hear you, Mr. Higgins.

The objections have no foundation in fact or law or reason. They are overraled.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

A 1 b 2 a m 3 & 4 E 5 d

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Lilitary Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.

the opening statement of this phase of the case conforms rather fully to what an opening statement should be, I should like to reserve for the defense the right to make any objections that we may see fit at the conclusion of the opening statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Justice hulder.

LI. JUSTICE BOKGERHOFF LULDER: (Reading)
"Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal:

"The subject of this phase of the case is the Japanese aggression against the Netherlands. The evidence to be presented will relate more especially to Counts 1, 4, 5, 14 and 32 of the Indictment, and will substantiate charges set forth in the remaining Counts. It will elaborate on and prove the allegations made in Section 10 of Appendix A of the Indictment.

"It will be shown how Japan, when at the end of the 1930s a speedy conclusion of the war in China seemed improbable, gradually turned her thoughts to an expansion southward and to the acquisition of the southern territories, rich in mineral resources

r

and agricultural products; how this idea gradually took shape in the minds of the Japanese "ar Leaders and how with the outbreak of the Second World War this idea took concrete form. We will show how after Germany's victories in Western Europe these plans were put into execution, through negotiations with Germany, through a Japanese advance into Indo-China and through the exertion of pressure and threats on the so-called 'orphaned' Metherlands Indies. shall further show that at the same time a military expansion southward was planned in case the attempt at peaceful domination should fail; that when the Netherlands East Indies would not yield to Japanese pressure, aggression and military conquest were decided upon, and that the Japanese southward advance into the Netherlands Indies and surrounding tegritory was one of the main motives for the Japanese aggression in the Pacific in December 1941. Hastly, 9it will be shown how after a ruthless war of aggression, and after the military occupation of almost the entire Netherlands Indies, previously prepared plans to bring these territories under the hegemony of Japan and to accomplish their virtual annexation, were put into execution, and how this policy to assure for Japan the future domination of the whole Southern

Pacific, and to construct a new Japanese Empire, was pursued until the final Japanese surrender in August 1945.

"The evidence to be presented in order that it may be fully comprehended and understood in its proper perspective, must be viewed in the light of the centuries of amicable relations that had existed between Japan and the Netherlands.

"Some of the evidence relating to these facts has already been introduced in earlier phases of the case. As the Japanese aggression against the Netherlands formed an integral part of the overall Japanese aggression, of which other phases have already been presented to the Court, this is, of course, inevitable. The negotiations with Germany and the results obtained therefrom, the military and economic preparations for the advance southward, the securing of bases in French Indo-China and the construction of military bases in the Mandated Islands, as a prelude to further aggression, and the general decisions leading up to the final aggression in December 1941, have already been dealt with by others. Only when necessary for full comprehension will the evidence relating to these subjects be brought to the attention of the Court to place the further facts

3 4 5

1

7 8

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

16 17

18.

19

21

22 23

24

which we intend to prove in their proper light and to present to the Tribunal a complete picture of the Japanese aggression against the Netherlands. More specifically the evidence to be presented will disclose the following facts:

"1. Treaties and assurances binding Japan to respect the integrity of Netherlands Territory:

"By the Treaty concluded on 13 December 1921, the United States, Great Britain, Trance and Japan undertook to respect each other's rights in respect of their insular possessions and dominions in the Pacific Ocean and to settle all differences arising among themselves on this subject by peaceful means only. The Netherlands was not among the signatories to this Treaty, but on 4 February 1922 a solemn declaration was issued by each of the contracting governments that the rights of the Netherlands in relation to its insular possessions in the Pacific Ocean would be respected. The communications thus addressed to the Netherlands Covernment by the four governments concerned were word for word identical, and each of them was, therefore, bound in the same way to respect the territorial integrity of the Netherlands Indies.

"No indication was over given that Japan no

2

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

longer deemed herself bound by this Pact. On the contrary, in the final years preceding the Japanese aggression toward the south, the Japanese Covernment, while planning and preparing this aggression, repeatedly declared that Japan's intentions were wholly peaceful and on various occasions reiterated its assurances not to attack the Netherlands Indies.

"On April 15, 1940 and again on May 11,

1940, one day after the Netherlands became involved
in the war with Germany, the Japanese Foreign Minister ARITA stated publicly that the Japanese Government was deeply concerned about any development that might affect the status quo of the Netherlands

Indies, and that his government was desirous that this status quo be maintained. Official notice of this statement was given to the United States, British and French Governments, the other parties to the Mour Power Pact, which caused them in their turn to make similar declarations and to reaffirm their resolve to continue to respect the integrity of Netherlands territory in the Pacific Ocean.

"On May 16, 1940 the Japanese Ambassador in Washington, in a conversation with the American Secretary of State, repeated that his government was entirely satisfied with the situation, following the

i1

declaration to respect the status quo of the Netherlands Indies by each of the four governments interested, and that there was no purpose in raising any further controversy in this connection.

"Almost a year later, on March 24, 1941,
Foreign Minister MATSUOKA, then on his way to Germany
to confer with the German leaders and to discuss with
them Japan's participation in the wer, stated emphatically to the American Ambassador in Moscow, that
under no circumstances would Japan attack any of the
American, Pritish or Dutch possessions and insisted
that Japan had no territorial ambitions whatsoever.
"2. Development of Japanese Policy regarding southward expansion:

"While it was thus the professed policy of Japan to maintain peaceful relations with the Nether-lands, a new actual policy was being conceived, which was directly opposed to her official assurances.

"In November 1938 the First KONOYE Cabinet, which was then in power, and of which at that
time the accused, ARAKI, ITAGAKI and KIDO were members, issued the official declaration that the ultimate aim of Imperial Japan was the establishment of
a New Order throughout East Asia. Although it was
not stated which countries were supposed to be

included within this Sphere of the New Order and the
southern regions were not then mentioned by name, Japanese propagandists, such as the accused HASHIMOTO,
had for some time in books and speeches advocated
Japanese expansion to the south.

however, Japan, foiled in her attempts to bring the war in China to a successful conclusion and judging the moment opportune, grasped at the possibility for southward expansion.

Minister in September 1939, Ambassador OSHINA gave as his opinion that Japan, especially the Japanese Mavy, was ready for an advance in Southeast Asia and Count TERAUCUI, who was then visiting Germany, stated that he considered an advance to the south preferable to a continuation of the war in China. It was not until April 1940, however, when Foreign Minister APITA, in his statement that Japan desired the maintenance of the status quo in the Netherlands Indies, declared that Japan was closely bound to the Netherlands Indies through a relationship of mutuality and inter-dependence, that the Netherlands Indies were first officially included, although by implication only, within the Japanese Sphere for a New Order."

"Immediately after the occupation of the 1 Notherlands by Germany in Lay 1940, the Japanese 2 Government sought for a declaration by Germany on 3 her attitude to ard the Netherlands Indies. After 4 the German Ambassador, on orders from his govern-5 ment, had declared that Germany was not interested in this question, he was able to report that this 1 declaration of German lack of interest was considered 3 a 'carte blanche' for Japan. Nevertheless on 24 June 9 1940 the Minister of Overseas Affairs, the accused 20 KOISO, inquired again what Germany's attitude would 11 be to military activity by Japan in French Indo-12 China and the Natherlands Indies. Germany's posi-13 tion came once more under discussion at the joint 14 conference between Army, Navy and Poreign Office re-15 presentatives on 12 and 16 July, 1940 on the strength-15 ening of Japanese-German relations. It was resolved 17 that Germany would have to recognize that the South-18 seas formed part of the area in which Japan would 19 establish her New Order and over which Japan would ex-20 ercise political lenders ip to the exclusion of all 21

"While these negotiations with Germany were going on, the Japanese Government was approached by the American Ambassador with a proposal for a

24 25

22

23

others.

Treaty to guarantee once more the status quo in the Pacific. The proposal was rejected as it was considered that Japan wanted to keep her hands free in regard to the southern regions, especially the Netherlands Indies.

"On 22 July 1940 the Second KONOYE Cabinet came into office, of which the accused HOSHINO, TOJO, and at a later stage also the accused HIRANULA and SUZUKI were members. A Cabinet decision on 26 July 1940, which a few days later was published as an official Japanese Government declaration, affirmed that the first and fundamental aim of Japan's national policy would be the construction of a New Order in Greater East Asia, with Japan, China and Manchukuo as the center.

"That at this time Japan definitely included the whole of the southern regions in her
Sphere of a New Order is clear from the official
declaration made by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA to the
Privy Council on the occasion of the conclusion of
the Tri-partite Pact in September 1940. Greater
Last Asia, including the southern regions, would be
the area of future Japanese domination. Japan's economy was to be planned with a view to this ultimate
objective and, as will presently be shown, these plans

-

were immediately reflected in Japan's economic demands on the Metherlands Indies.

"Although at this time definite plans for a military conquest of the southern regions seem as yet to have been vegue, such a military conquest was certainly already envisaged. I mentioned earlier the accused KOISO's inquiry as to Germany's attitude to military activity by Japan towards the south. Two months later, in August 1940, the Chief of the Naval General Staff gave as his opinion that an immediate military operation in the south was impossible and, as it would take at least eight months to get ready, the longer the war was postponed the better. A comprehensive plan dated September 1940 and found in the files of the Japanese Government deals with the establishment of the Greater East Asia Coprosperity Sphere, either through peaceful means by making Japan the mediator in the present World Var, or through military conquest. This latter part of the plan goes into some detail as to how to achieve a military conquest of the Netherlands Indies, without too great damage being caused to its natura! resources and industrial equipment, and how to make the Netherlands Indies a puppet state in Japan's Sphere through the stirring up of an independence movement and the

24 25

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

establishment of a new constitution. A similar policy was to be followed in all other southern regions from Burma to the Philippines. Furthermore, in October 1940 the accused OSHIMA, commenting on the Tripartite Pact, evaluated it as the final clear recognition of Japan's mission in the South and urged that Japan should be propored to overcome by any means the obstacles which would be placed in her way.

"In January 1941 in a speech before the Diet, Foreign Minister MATSUOKA for the first time asserted publicly that the Netherlands Indies formed a part of Japan's Greater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere and sent out instructions that in no case should official statements dony that the Netherlands Indies formed port of Japan's Co-prosperity Sphere. From this time onward, although, as I will relate . presently, the efforts to make the Netherlands Indies yield to Japanese demands for peaceful penetration continued until the middle of 1941, the concept of military expansion gradually took more definite form.

"In February 1941 Foreign Minister MATS"OKA stated that he would like to make peace with China so as to concentrate Japan's whole energy on the main question now facing Japan, the solution of the southern problem. The same month the German Foreign

24 25

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Minister discussed the reconstruction of Europe and East Asia after the war with the accused OSHIMA, and the same subject came up for discussion on the occasion of MATSUOKA's visit to Berlin - the Japanese Government apparently being anxious to make Japan the intermediary in all of Germany's future relations with countries within the Co-presperity Sphere. A further point arising during MATSUOKA's discussion in Germany was how to acquire the oil fields in the Netherlands Indies intact, which problem would have to be considered in planning the military operation.

"At the Liaison Conference between the Government and Imperial Headquarters on 25 June 1941 it was decided that, the Netherlands Indies having refused to yield to the Japanese demands, Japan would have to occupy the southern part of French Indo-China to establish air and naval bases for a further advance to the south.

"The Imperial Conference on 2 July 1941 decided that Japan would under all circumstances adhere to the principle of establishing a Greater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere, hasten her southward advance, and remove all obstacles for the achievement of that purpose.

2

3

6

8

10

12 13

14

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

25

"In the second half of July the occupation of the whole of French Indo-China was effected with the aim to launch from there a rapid attack south-ward as soon as the situation would be opportune.

"3. Direct relations and negotiations between the Notherlands and Japan in 1940 and 1941:

"Until the beginning of the year 1940 the relations between Japan and the Netherlands, at least on the surface, were friendly. Japan's share in the economic relations of the Notherlands Indies with other countries was comparatively small and these relations were governed by the usual ! Most Favored Nation Clause;, which prevents any discrimination. Moreover, by a Treaty of Judicial Settlement, Arbitration and Conciliation, concluded between Japan and the Netherlands in 1933, both countries were bound not to seek settlement of disputes of any character which might arise between them by other than pacific means. This Treaty which came into effect for five years when ratifications were exchanged in August 1935, would automatically have been valid for a further five years after 1940, had it not been denounced by Japan in January of that year.

"On 2 February 1940 the Japanese Minister in the Hague presented a memorandum requesting the opening

of discussions for the lifting of existing import, export and immigration restrictions in the Netherlands Indies and the consideration of further measures to enable Japan to have a greater part in the development of that archipelago. This request had not yet been answered, when in May 1940, a few days after the occupation of the Netherlands by Germany, another memorandum was presented to the Netherlands Government insisting on a speedy reply and further requesting a guarantee that the Netherlands Indics would in the future continue to supply Japan with specified amounts of certain war materials. A noncommittal answer which, bowever, did not exclude further negotiations was handed to the Japanese Government in June and soon thereafter Japan made clear its intention to send an Economic Mission to the Netherlands Indies for direct negotiations. This Mission, consisting of some thirty delegates headed by the Minister of Commerce in the Second KONOYE Cabinet, which was then in office, arrived in September 1940, a few days before the conclusion of the Tri-partite Pact.

"One of the main objectives of the Mission, which included representatives both of the Army and the Navy, was to ensure a continuous flow of raw

23

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

materials from the Netherlands Indies, both to strengthen Japanese war production and to provide Japan's
partners under the Tri-partite Pact with materials
essential for the prosecution of the war. Indeed,
promises were made by Japan to Germany that rubber,
tin and other materials would be obtained for her
from the southern regions.

"However, a more ambitious program had been drawn up, aiming at the domination of the whole of the Netherlands Indies through the latter's compliance with far reaching Japanese demands. To quote an example, one of the most pressing Japanese needs was the acquirement of oil. Nevertheless, instructions were given that in the oil negotiations with the Netherlands authorities emphasis should be laid on the acquisition of oil concessions, rather than on the obtaining of the finished product. Close contact would have to be maintained with the military authorities in the selection of areas for these concessions, as these were intended to serve as bases for a military foothold in the Netherlands Indies.

"A Cabinet decision of 25 October 1940 outlined an initial program for Japan's participation in the economic development of the Netherlands Indies, the subject on which the Economic Delegation was then

negotiating in Batavia. It was decided that recognizing Japan's deminant position in the Netherlands Indies by virtue of the Tri-partite Pact, the first measures to be taken would be to liquidate the Netherlands Indies economic relations with the European and American continents; to remove the various existing restrictions on the economic activities of Japan so as to enable her to secure preferential treatment for herself; to arrange for the joint development by Japan and the Netherlands of the Netherlands Indies; to place under Japanese control the production and export of essential war materials; to place the exchange-control of the Notherlands Indies under Japanese guidance; to liquidate foreign financial holdings in the Netherlands Indies; and to place the formulation and execution of all economic policies under the control of a joint Japanese-Notherlands Economic Commission. In general terms, it was added that all economic measures would be formulated from the broader viewpoint of establishing the Co-prosperity Sphere of Greater East Asia."

22

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Meanwhile in Batavia, after initial negotiations concerning the more direct and pressing need to obtain for Japan the necessary exports of oil had taken place and the attempt to lease certain territories as oil concessions for Japan had fuiled, the above more general program was presented in the form of a long list of demands in January 1941. Foreign Minister M.TSUOKA's speech including the Netherlands Indies in the Co-prosperity Sphere temporarily brought negotiations to a halt and caused the hoad of the Jupanese Mission to warn marsuoka that, unless the utterences of the Japanese Government and the Japanese press became more moderate, the attempt to make the Netherlands Indies a part of the Co-prosperity Sphere through peaceful negotiations was bound to fail, in which case a resort to armed force would be inevitable.

"Although the negotiations continued for several more months, it was clear that the Japanese demands could not be satisfied, as the Nether-lands were unwilling to accord Japan any special privileges or to recognize any dominant position of Japan in the southern regions. At the end of May 1941, the last Japanese and Metherlands memorated were exchanged and, no greenent having been

reached, Japan discontinued the negotiations in
June and the Mission returned to Japan. The same
month Foreign Minister MATSUOKA stated that no further negotiations would take place and that, before
proceeding further against the Netherlands Indies,
bases in French Indo-China would have to be secured.

"In July the Japanese occupation of the southern part of French Indo-China took place, resulting in the freezing of all Japanese assets in the Netherlands Indies. From this time enward, no further trade was possible and commercial relations between Japan and the Netherlands Indies practically came to an end.

"4. J_panese Subversive Activities in the Notherlands East Indies:

"An extensive system of espionege was built up by the Japanese in the Netherlands Indies many years before the outbreak of war between Japan and the Netherlands. A great part of the many thousands of Japanese residing in the Netherlands Indies, held closely under the control and supervision of the Japanese authoritites through numerous local Japanese associations, took an active part in the gathering of information of military importance.

Japanese consuls and consular agents saw in the

1.1

. .

collection and dispatch of this military information through diplomatic channels one of their main tasks and Japanese professional spics were attached as consular personnel to several Japanese consulates.

"In addition to the activities of the Japanese residents and Japanese diplomatic personnel, the Japanese Army and Nevy each had their own agents in the more important places in the Netherlands
Indies. Special emphasis was laid on Japanese propagands among the Chinese and Indonesian inhabitants of the Netherlands Indies, for which large sums were expended, and many Chinese agents were brought over from the Japanese occupied parts of Chine.

"5. Preparation for War and Military Operations, July 1941 to March 1942.

"From July 1941 onward it was clear that
the resolve to continue the advance to the south,
under any circumstances and against all obstacles,
could only be affected through the actual exertion
of military force. This in itself proved no deterrent to the Japanese plans. If in some quarters
there was still a certain hesitation, it was caused
through the realization that an advance into the
Notherlands Indies and toward the South in general
would involve Japan in a war with both the United

hostilities against the United States and Great Britain. Occupation currency for the Netherlands Indies had been ordered as far back as January 1941 nd the first deliveries had been made in March of that year. The so-called 'Table Top Maneuvers' hold in August 1941 by the Total War Research Institute went into great cetail as to how and when to start a campaign gainst the Netherlands Indies and doubt extensively with the expected military operations, either against the Netherlands alone, or in conjunction with a general war in the Pacific. In September 1941 'war games' were held by the Navy et the Navy har College and further careful plans were worked out by the Army and Navy in September and October. The Commander-in-Chief of all Army units in the southern regions was appointed in October and Navy units for the operations in the Notherlands Indies were selected. These plans were so detailed as to specify that certain oil refineries in the Netherlands Indies would be administed directly by the Japanese Navy to cover its own needs.

"After the Third KONOYE C binet had been succeeded in October 1941 by the TOJO Cabinet, of which the : ccused K.Y., SHIMADA, SUZUKI and TOGO were members, the properations were intensified and

24

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

15

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

a general re-examination of all questions concerning the prospective war took place, such as the consideration whether an attack toward the south would be possible without directly involving the United States and Great Britain in the war.

"At the Imperial Conference of 5 November 1941 it was decided to begin hostilities sometime after the 25th of November and it was planned to open New negotiations with the Netherlands Indies for the purpose of conceeling and disguising the Japanese plans for an attack upon that country.

Top Secret Operation Orders Nos. 1 and 2 were issued, of which mention has been rade before. Further plans provided for the establishment of a temporary military administration for the territories which were to be occupied until a definite decision regarding their future status could be made. Eventually, most of the southern areas were to be granted a certain degree of self-government under the control of Japan and outlines for these future necesures were drawn up. "

"At the Imperial Conference of 1 December 1941 the final decision to declare war on the United States, Arest Tritain and the Notherlands was made. On 3 December 1941, Japan attached and subsequently declared war upon the United States and Great Tritain. No formal declaration of war by Japan on the Notherlands was made, or even contemplated, as according to Frime Minister 2000, when discussion this question in the Frivy Council, such a stop would be undesirable for strategic reasons. Fowaver, in the light of the known facts, the Natherlands Tovernment could herbor no doubt that the attacks on Fourt Terbor and Singepore were but a prolude to a willtary conquest of the Notherlands Indaes. Accordingly, it recognized the existence of a state of wer and formally declared war on Japan.

Cabinet Committee to consider measures for the seconomic control and exploitation of the shortly to be occupied southern regions had been set up under the Chairmanship of the President of the Planning Board, the accused SUZUKI, and the first report, containing general outlines of measures to be taken in this respect, was presented to the Cabinet on 12 December. Another plan of the same date for the

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

military and political measures in the southern areas provided for the final disposal of all territories to be brought under the control of Japan.

Pebruary 1942 extensive reports were prepared by the Total War Research Institute on the same question, going into great detail concerning the measures to be taken in the political, military and concerning fields over a long period of years to ensure for Japan the definite ingement of the whole last have and adjointing regions.

"On 12 January 1942, the first Japanese troops landed in the otherlands Indica only the Japanese Government issued a declaration in which it regretted being cornelled to were a wer caused by Notherlands aggression.

on 22 January 1942, Foreign Pinister TOJO once again expressed his regret at having been forced into war by the Petherlands, but added at the same time that the aim of the war was the establishment of Greater leaf Isis under the landarship of Japan, for which purpose all areas of strategic importance would have to be grasped by Japan, since she was

Sphere from infringement by third powers. This was reaffarmed by Frima Minister TOJO, who also laid down the fundamental principles on which the construction of Freeter Best Asia would be based.

Commenting on the speeches, the Sermen Ambassador was able to report to his government that those rublic announcements of the Prime and Foreign Ministers represented a new definitely established program for the construction of the Granter Best Lain Sphere, in which some regions would be retained by Japan, while others would, like Manchukue, be granted nominal independence.

further into the Tetherlands Indies. I closely mentioned that one of the rain concerns of the Japanese leaders was 'or to acquire the oil resources in the Motherlands Indies under egod. Moving occurred the first important installations on the Island of Tare-ken, and finding the oil-wells destroyed, an ultimatum was sent to the Communder of the town of Balikpapen in Normae, the center of one of the rost important oil producing regions in the Vetherlands Indies, stating that if the oil installations were not a prendered intest the whole white population would

have to pay with those lives. Subsequently, the town was attacked by the Japanese, the oil wells word destroyed, and the white population rounded up and undered.

"On 1 March 1942 landings took place on the main island of the Fetherlands Indice, the island of Jave. Having approached the important town of Bandoong in the center of the island, the Japanese thought that the time had come for finel suprender. Pajacting the offer by the Notherlands authorities to surrender the town, the Japanese Commander-in-Chief avoid his intention to both and dastroy the town, unless all Notherlands forces comitulated. Further resistance having by this time become mecless, the surrender took place under this Japanese threat, and with the occupation of Java completed, most of the remainder of the Netherlands Indies was occupied shortly oftenwards.

6. Japanese Occupation and Consolidation of Joneness Conquests, Merch 1942 to August 1948.

"To complete the picture of Japanese agression and Japanese attempts to gain domination of a large most of the world, it is deemed fitting to present to the Tribunch a survey of the moons by which Jepen, under the guise of constructing o

1

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

1 2

Sphere of Cormon Prosperity and Co-existence, attempted to achieve the ennexation of the territories overrun by mulitary aggression and their incorporation within a new Japanese Empire.

this subject, a survey will be given of the three and a helf years of Japanese rule in the Metherlands Indies, whereby events on the main island of the Last Indian Archipelage, the Faland of Jave, will be dealt with in some detail. Although comparatively small in area, this island, even more densely populated than Japan itself, comprises rearry 30% of the total population of all the Southern Territories which came under the do inst on of Japan, including Turne, Theiland, French Indo-Chine, Melaya, the Philippines and the Fotherlands Indies. It is highly devel ped as regards both industry and agriculture and for those reasons may be considered one of the most important of the Japanese conquests.

"However, it must be stressed that developments in other countries occupied as the result of Japanese aggression were not basically different from those in Java, or in the rest of the Netherlands Indies. Local variations on the same theme there were; but the basis of the Japanese occupation policy was

the same everywhere, and was always coordinated with Japan's alms of expansion and domination.

drawn up before the Japapese conquest of the Southern Regions had been effected, or even before the outbreak of the Mer in the Feeific. These plans, besed on the permenent retention of the fruits of Japanese conquest, dealt with the final disposal of territories to be seized by Japan and with the measures necessary for the achievement of that end. Furthermore, Prime Minister TOJO, in his aforementioned speech at the 79th Session of the Diet on 22 January 1942, had stated publicly that Japan would in the future dominate Greater East Asia.

"Had those plens and declarations prior to the Japanese occupation left any doubt concerning Japan's aim to relieve the domination of Mest Asia and make the different countries thereof subservient to herself, this doubt would soon have been dispelled by the actual policies followed by Japan in her rule of the occupied territories."

"The first measures to be taken after the occupation was effected, were to eradicate the existing forms of government and to sever all contacts with Western influence and the outside world. All government officials of Western origin were dismissed and interned, to be followed soon by the rest of the Occidental population, women and children included. This mass internment and strictly enforced isolation from the outside world gave free rein to Japanese propaganda, which immediately monopolized all means for disseminating information. The territory of the Netherlands Indies was split up into various parts, some being placed under the military administration of the Army, some under the Navy. The existing Government Departments were abolished and replaced by centralized Japanese Army and Navy executive bodies staffed by Japanese personnel.

"All representative advisory and legislative councils were dissovled. An entirely new system of local government along autocratic Japanese lines was introduced. All existing law courts were abolished and replaced by a Japanese judiciary, administering differently conceived principles of law, unacceptable according to democratic standards. All political parties were dissolved and all political

2

5

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

activities forbidden.

"Immediately a strangle-hold was laid upon the economic structure of the country. As a first measure all existing banks, including the Central Bank, were closed down, liquidated, and replaced by Japanese banks. All "estern-owned agricultural enterprises and all public utilities were taken over by the Japanese authorities, who exploited them directly or allotted them to Japanese governmentcontrolled or private companies. Wide-scale confiscation of private property took place, either with a nominal or without any compensation. All further economic activity was controlled by the Japanese authorities by uniting those who took part in the economic life of the country, e.g., farmers, traders, manufacturers, etc., within autocratically directed organizations. By these measures Japan achieved a thorough exploitation of the country to strengthen the Japanese war machine and to enrich herself and those of her nationals who participated in it, thereby causing hardship, poverty and hunger to the entire population. Hundreds of thousands were deported as slave-laborers for the Japanese Army and the greater part of these victims perished through lack of food, shelter and medical attention."

25

1

2

3

4

"Immediate action was also taken in the field of education. One of the first acts of the Japanese was to close all schools, which were only partially reopened after the curriculum had been thoroughly revised and restricted: the teaching of Japanese language and customs become obligatory; the teaching of other foreign languages was prohibited; Japanese history replaced the teaching of general history; the boundaries of the world were narrowed down to those of the Greater East Asia Co-presperity Sphere. Scon one central 'Youth Movement' was established in which young men between the ages of 14 and 25 were to take part, and which aimed at the development of a militaristic spirit and the imbuing of the younger generation with veneration for Japan.

"Through the creation of this and other centrally directed movements, the whole social structure was brought under rigid Japanese control and the way was paved for the inculcation of a totalitarian spirit, a desire for war, cruelty, and hatred of the West. In the religious field, efforts were made to induce the Hohammedan religious leaders to declare the war for Greater East Asia a 'holy' war for all Moslems. At the same time, the system of Emperor worship was rigidly enforced, and all who had any

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

official or semi-official function had to swear eternal allegiance to Japan.

"Through these and other measures, the execution of which was enforced by means of a greatly expanded nolice force, trained 'n Japanese police methods and by a reign of terror of the notorious Japanese Military Police, a thorough Japanization in all branches of society in the Netherlands Indies was accomplished in little more than one year.

"Apart from the establishment of Japanese rule, the future formal status of the several occupied territories, which were under the military administration of the Army and the Navy, had to be settled. To achieve the Japanese sim of domination of East Asis, two ways were open. Firstly, it was nossible to annex the occupied areas outright and have them ruled directly by Japan, whether or not with a certain degree of nominal self-government. Secondly, some or all of the occupied areas might sooner or later be given a semblance of independence by creating puppet-regimes under Japanese control. In any case, the final supervision and direction over all areas would remain in Tokyo, for which purpose in November 1942 the Greater East Asia Ministry was set up, which, it was intended, should regulate both the internal and external affairs

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

of all countries within the Greater East Asia Co-

"In the middle of 1943 the first measures were initiated for the execution of this policy. Burnt. and the Philippines were granted nominal independence, but no such step was taken in regard to the Notherlands . Indies. General Staffs of both the Army and the Navy, who were each responsible for the administration of part of the archipalago, were strongly opposed to the granting of any degree of self-government despite pressure in this direction from local army authorities. Accordingly, it was decided that the Netherlands Indies would continue to be ruled directly by Japan. Hewever, were Janenese claims to have liberated the country from American, British and Notherlands oppression not to remain wholly unsubstantiated, some concessions would have to be made to the inhabitants, from whom active participation in the Japanese war offort was exacted.

"Consequently, in his speech at the 82nd
Session of the Dict in June 1943 Prime Minister TOJO
promised the population of the Netherlands Indies a
certain degree of participation in the government of
these islands. However, this participation, when but
into effect, proved to be of no actual significance
and feel far short of the political rights enjoyed by

24 25

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

the population before the Japanese occupation.

"As the war progressed, however, and Japan's position became precarious, more demands had to be made on the inhabitants of the occupied regions to strengthen the Japanese war effort. For that purpose, it became necessary on the one hand to continue the policy of making even wider promises and on the other to strengthen the Japanese hold on the life of the country.

"In Movember 1943, and Assembly of Greater
East Asiatic nations was held in Tokyo. There,
representatives of the various Japanese puppet-governments heard Prime Minister TOJO declare that the nations of Greater East Asia would have to stand together
and defend themselves jointly against their common
enemies. After reaffirming their adherence to Japan
and their faith in Japanese leadership for Greater
Fost Asia, the Conference adopted a 'Greater East
Asia Declaration', which called for a common effort
by all Asiatic peoples in the prosecution of the war.

"The Netherlands Indies, being under direct Japanese rule, were not represented at this meeting of so-called free nations; nevertheless increased efforts were made by the Japanese authorities to achieve the regimentation of the whole population for the

20,

1

3

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

futherance of the Japanese war effort and to induce or coerce the male population to join the military organizations which were to assist the Japanese armies.

"In July 1944, the war situation having become increasingly grave, the TOJO Cabinet went out of office, and was replaced by a new Cabinet under the accused KOISO as Prime Minister, while the accused SHIGEHITSU, who had already been Foreign Minister in the TOJO Cabinet since April 1943, remained in that post and became concurrently Minister for Greater East Asia. One of the first moves of the new Cabinet, under further pressure from local army authorities, was to revise the Japanese policy regarding the Netherlands Indies. Communications between Japan and the Southern Regions had become endangered, and it was now necessary to make further efforts to ensure full cooperation from the local population. Accordingly, Prime Minister KCISO, in his speech before the 85th Session of the Diet on 7 September 1944, promised future independence to the Netherlands Indies. No further details were given, however, as to how, when, and to what extent, independence would be granted and, indeed, even at this stage there was no intention to take definite steps in that direction.

"The main purpose of the Japanese promise

was to induce the population to assist to the utmost in the Japanese war effort by stimulating their nationalistic feelings. Definite instructions as to the policy which the local Japanese authorities should follow to this end were sent from Tokyo. One of the measures taken was the training of the entire population to participate in anticipated Japanese guerilla warfare.

of 1945, the war situation became increasingly grave for Japan. With the loss of the Philippine Islands, lines of communication between Japan and the Southern Regions became entirely disrupted. It was not until May 1945, however, when it was apparent that the war could not be protracted much longer, that orders were given by the Japanese Government to initiate measures for the granting of independence, while in July of that year instructions were issued fro their further acceleration.

"Preparations had hardly started, however,
when on 14 August 1945, Japan surrendered. Regardless
of this new situation, the Japanese saw to it that a
Japanese fostered state would still be established.
During one frantic week, while the news of the surrender was being kept secret, the necessary prepara-

3 4

tions were made and the independence proclaimed. On 22 August 1945, the news of the Japanese surrender was published and the Japanese Commander-in-Chief in Java stated in a farewell message to the population that Japan would now have to leave the Southern Regions, but that eternal friendship between Japan and the people of the new-born state had been immutably established.

"These then are the main outlines of the development of Japanese aggression against the Netherlands, and of Japanese attempts to hold on to the fruits of this aggression and secure the domination of the countries of the South Seas until the very last.

"With the permission of the Court, we are now prepared to proceed with the presentation of the evidence. In this task I will be assisted by Mr. G. Osmond Hyde, Special Assistant to the Attorney General of the United States, and by my associates Lt. Colonel J. S. Sinningha Damste, Mr. A. T. Laverge and Mrs. C. R. Strooker."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now until helf pest one.

(Whereupon, at 1158 a recess was taken.)

2 3

6 S

pr 7 at 8 9

> 10 11

13

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

b e ŗ 4 g 5 &

1330. MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Laverge.

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at

MR. LAVERGE: The first number of documents will be introduced by Mrs. C. R. Strooker of the Netherlands Division, who up to now has not been introduced to the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Mrs. Strooker.

MRS. STROOKER: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, we shall first present or refer to a number of documents concerning treaties, pledges and assurances by which Japan was bound to respect the integrity of the Netherlands territory.

The Court's attention is invited to exhibit 24, being the Treaty between the United States, the British Empire, France and Japan, signed in Washington on December 13, 1921. By this Treaty the four signatory Powers agreed to respect each others! rights in relation to their insular possessions and dominions in the Pacific Ocean, and to settle all differences arising amongst themselves on this

subject by peaceful means only.

The next document we wish to refer to is exhibit 26. As this exhibit has not yet been read we will now, with the Court's permission, proceed to read it.

THE PRESIDENT: You may read it, Mrs. Strooker.

MRS. STROOKER (Reading):

"DECLARATION OF JAPAN REGARDING HER RESOLU-TION OF RESPECTING RIGHTS OF NETHERLANDS IN RELATION TO HER INSULAR POSSESSIONS IN REGION OF PACIFIC OCEAN.

"Dated February 5, 1921 (10th year of Taisho)
"Published August 17, 1923.

"Japan has concluded on December 13th, 1921, with the United States of America, the British Empire and France a treaty with a view to the preservation of the general peace and the maintenance of their rights in relation to their insular possessions and insular dominions in the region of the Pacific Ocean. They have agreed thereby as between themselves to respect their rights in relation to these possessions and dominions.

"The Netherlands not being a signatory of the said treaty and the Netherlands possessions in the region of the Pacific Ocean, therefore, not being

included in the agreement referred to, the Government of Japan, anxious to forestall any conclusion contrary to the spirit of the treaty, desires to declare that it is firmly resolved to respect the rights of the Netherlands in relation to her insular possessions in the region of the Pacific Ocean."

To show that in April, 1940, the Japanese Government still officially adhered to its professed desire that the status quo in the Netherlands Indies would not be changed, we offer in evidence prosecution document 823B(1), being an excerpt from court exhibit 777 for identification, a collection of official Japanese Government announcements for the year 1940. We will now read exhibit --

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COUNT: Prosecution document

No. 823B(1) will receive exhibit No. 1284.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1284 and received in evidence.)
MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit

MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit No. 1284.

"FOREIGN OFFICE ANNOUNCEMENTS 1940:

"ADT. 15, 1940. Foreign Minister ARITA

Replies to Newspapermen on the Question of the

Notherlands East Indies.

"On being questioned by newspapermen concerning Japan's position with regard to the possible involvement of the Netherlands in the European war and, its repercussions in the Netherlands East Indies, the Foreign Linister Mr. ARITA replied as follows:

"With the South Sets regions, especially the Netherlands East Indies, Japan is economically bound by an intimate relationship of mutuality in ministering to one another's need. Similarly other countries of East Asia maintain close economic relations with these regions. That is to say, Japan, these countries and these regions together are contributing to the prosperity of East Asia through mutual aid and interdependence.

"Should the hostilities in Europe be extended to the Notherlands, and produce repercussions,
as you say, in the Notherlands East Indies, it would
not only interfere with the maintenance and furtherance of the above-mentioned relations of economic
interdependence, and of co-existence and co-prosperity,
but also give rise to an undesirable situation from
the standpoint of the page and stability of East
asia. In view of those consider tions the Japanese

3 4 5

7 8

6

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23

Government can not but be acoply concerned over any development, accompanying the aggravation of the war in Europe, that may affect the status quo of the Notherl nds East Indies.

".pril 18, 1940. Statement of the Foreign Office Spokesmin Concerning the Question of the Netherlands E st Indies.

"We have received a report from our Minister at the Hague, Mr. Itaro ISHII, to the following effect.

"Minister ISHII called on the Netherlands Foreign Minister, Mr. ven Klefrens, on the 16th of april and explained to the latter the attitude of the Japanese Government with regard to the question of the Notherlands Last Indics. The Notherlands Foreign Minister expressed the Netherlands Government's appreciation of the Japanese Government's attitude and at the same time stated that the Netherlands Government had not sought nor would sock in the future any country's protection of the Notherlands East Indies, and that the Notherlands Government were determined to refuse any offer of protection of intervention of any kind which might be made by any country.

"The Netherlands Minister t Tokyo, General J. C. Pabst called on the Foreign Minister, Mr. Hachior ARITA, today and confirmed the bove report of Minister ISHII."

We respectfully call the Tribunal's attention to exhibit 1013, a press release by the United States Department of State on April 17, 1940, containing an official declaration by the United States Government in regard to Minister ARITA's statement. In this declaration emphasis was laid on the fact that each of the four signatory powers to the aforementioned Pact of 13 December 1921 continued to be bound to respect the territorial integrity of the Netherlands Indies.

We next offer in evidence a further excerpt from exhibit 777 for identification, prosecution document 823B(2), being a declaration by the Japanese Government on May 11, 1940, concerning the maintenance of the status quo in the Netherlands Indies, to show that after the Netherlands had been invaded by Germany the Japanese Government still officially declared that the status quo in the Netherlands Indies should not be changed.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

823B(2) will receive exhibit No. 1285.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1285 and received in evidence.)

We will now read exhibit 1285:
"FOREIGN OFFICE ANNOUNCEMENTS 1940

"May 11, 1940. Statement of the Foreign
Office Spokesman Concerning the Maintenance of Status
Quo of the Netherlands East Indies.

"Considering the possibility of the war in Europe spreading to the Netherlands, the Japanese Government made public on the 15 of April their attitude of deep concern over any development that may affect the status quo of the Netherlands East Indies, and subsequently they notified the Netherlands Government to that effect. In connection with this, the Netherlands Government expressed their determination not to alter their policy of maintaining the status quo of the said Netherlands, colony under any circumstances.

"As the European war has now spread to the Netherlands, the Foreign Minister, Mr. Hachior ARITA, invited the Netherlands Minister at Tokyo, General J. C. Pabst, to the Foreign Office this afternoon, and informed the latter that the Japanese Government ment earnestly hope that the Netherlands Government will firmly maintain their said determination regarding the question of the Netherlands East Indies.

"The Foreign Minister has also called

attention of the representatives in Tokyo of belligerent countries, namely, Great Britain, Germany and France, to Japan's concern over the said question.

"The Foreign Minister has informed the representatives of two neutral countries, the United States and Italy, for their reference, the fact that the Japanese Government made the above notification to the government of belligerent countries concerned."

This new declaration by the Japanese Government caused Great Britain and France to make similar declarations. These are contained in prosecution document 823B(3), an excerpt from exhibit 777 for identification. We offer prosecution document 323B(3) in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 823B(3) will receive exhibit No. 1286.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1286 and received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKER: We will now read 1286:

"FOREIGN OFFICE ANNOUNCEMENTS 1940:

"May 13, 1940. Statement of the Foreign
Office Spokesman Concerning the Netherlands East Indies.
"The British Ambassador, Sir Robert Craigie,

at 6:00 o'clock this afternoon, called on the
Foreign Minister, Mr. Hachiro ARITA, at the latter's
official residence with the reply of his home Government to the representation made by Foreign Minister
ARITA on May 11 regarding the Netherlands East Indies.
The British Ambassador told Foreign Minister ARITA
that the British Government fully share the Japanese
Government's concern over the Netherlands East Indies but believe that the Dutch forces in the
Netherlands East Indies are sufficient for the
maintenance of the status quo of those islands,
while Great Britain has no intention whatever of
intervening there. The British Ambassador left the
Foreign Office at 6:40 o'clock this evening.

"May 15, 1940. Statement of the Foreign Office Spokesman Concerning the Netherlands Minister's Notification with Regard to the Netherlands East Indies.

"At 10:00 A. M. today, the Netherlands
Minister, General J. C. Pabst, called on the Foreign
Minister, Mr. Hachiro ARITA, at the latter's official
residence under instructions from his home Government
with reference to the Foreign Minister's communication
to the Netherlands Government made on the 11th of
this month, and stated that the Netherlands Government

are of the belief that Great Britain, the United States and France have no intention of intervening in the Netherlands East Indies.

"The Netherlands Minister took leave of the Foreign Minister at 10:20 A. M.

"May 16, 1940. Statement of the Foreign
Office Spokesman Concerning the French Ambassador's
Notificiation with Regard to the Netherlands East
Indies.

"The French Ambassador, Mr. Charles ArseneHenry, called on the Foreign Minister, Mr. Hachiro
ARITA, at the Foreign Office at 3:30 P. M. today
under instructions from his home Government with
reference to the Foreign Minister's communication
to the French-Ambassador made on the 11th of this month
regarding the maintenance of status quo of Netherlands
East Indies, and stated that the French Government
entirely agree with the Japanese policy on the question.

"The French Ambassador left at 4:00 P. M."

•

MRS. C. R. STROOKER: We offer in evidence prosecution's document 220N, being a press release issued by the Department of State on May 11, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 220N will receive exhibit No. 1287.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1287 and received in evidence.)

MRS. C. R. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit No. 1287:

"Foreign Relations of the United States-Japan, 1931-1941, Vol. II, p. 285. Press Release Issued by the Department of State on May 11, 1940.

"In response to inquiries by correspondents concerning press reports from Tokyo relative to the status quo of the Netherlands East Indies, the Secretary of State made the following statement:

"'I have no full report about the matter referred to in the press despatches from Tokyo. During recent weeks a number of governments, including Great Britain, Japan, and the United States, have made clear in official public utterances their attitude of continued respect for the status quo of the Netherlands East Indies. This was in harmony with definite

commitments formally made in writing in 1922. This
Government assumes that each of the governments which
has made commitments will continue to abide by those
commitments. On April 17, 1940, in a public statement,
I said:

"'"Intervention in the domestic affairs of the Netherlands Indies or any alteration of their status quo by other than peaceful processes would be prejudicial to the cause of stability, peace, and security not only in the region of the Netherlands Indies but in the entire Pacific area."

"'In view of these facts, commitments and expressions of intention to respect the status quo of the Netherlands East Indies cannot be too often reiterated.'"

We offer in evidence prosecution document 220P, a memorandum by the United States Secretary of State, dated May 16, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 220P will receive exhibit No. 1288.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1288 and received in evidence.)

MRS. C. R. STROOKER: I will now read parts of

exhibit 1288:

"Memorandum by the Secretary of State.

"(Washington) May 16, 1940.

"The Ambassador of Japan called at his own request."

I will now continue to read from the second paragraph on page 2 of the English text onward.

"I then picked up two or three pages of material which had come in via the news ticker from Tokyo, in which the Japanese Government is reported to be discussing every day or two some phases of the Netherlands East Indies and its supposed special rights in them. I stated that I had not intended to show him this, that it had just come to my desk as the Ambassador came in, but I remarked with emphasis that it had been thought that the Japanese Government and the Governments of the United States, Great Britain and France had each and all repeated recently their prior commitment that each was obligated to respect the status quo in the Netherlands East Indies and I had thought that settled the matter as among our four countries, since each country unequivocally pledged itself to respect the status quo, but I added that notwithstanding the efforts of many of us to maintain a thorough understanding with the Government of Japan, there was continually

22

23

24

25

3

5

coming out of Tokyo additional discussions of the Notherlands Fast Indies as though the commitment to respect and preserve the status quo had not been made. I said that these were news reports and I myself was slow to accredit them, but that the tenor of the reports interfered with the efforts of the Ambassador and myself and others to preserve understanding and fair play and fair treatment between our two countries by causing misunderstanding and increasing hostility on the part of the people in each country. I said that I would make no complaint now about the matter if that was a part of the newspaper policy in Japan. I added finally that my Government strives for peace year in and year out and it desires at all times to avoid controversy, and, therefore, if controversy arises, the fault will not lie at the door of this Government. I said further that in our constant desire and constant effort to promote and preserve peace, both with other countries and among other countries, I hoped that this attitude of ours would not be misunderstood.

"The Ambassador undertook in reply to disclaim any purpose of his Government to send him to me to enter into the long examination to which he was subjecting me when interrupted. He then repeated that his

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23 24

Government was entirely satisfied with the situation following the reiteration of the status quo in respect to the Netherlands Indies by each of the four governments interested, and that it had no purpose to raise any further controversy in that connection unless perchance the British or French should land troops there to protect them. I remarked that, since my Government was interested, I had made inquiries of the British and the French, and gathered the unequivocal understanding that they had no idea whatever to intervene in the Netherlands East Indies in any way.

"The Ambassador then made some reference to the Monroe Doctrine in connection with the West Indies situation, and I replied that I had seemingly in vain sought to point out to his Government that, under the Monroe Doctrine, his country's merchant ships have equal access to every harbor in the Western Hemisphere (not including a special arrangement between the United States and Cuba), while under the policy which his Government is seeking to impose in the Pacific Ocean area, the United States and other countries are to be denied equality of trade and industrial opportunity in every Chinese port, and yet his Government seems to look with complacency on this conflicting situation.

"I again brought to his attention the information

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

contained in the news ticker report today from Tokyo, in which Japanese newspapers, as stated, were undertaking to keep alive and emphasize some supposed spesial interests of Japan in the Netherlands East Indies. I said it seemed very surprising to observe that, after the Japanese Government had undertaken to spread itself out over the huge republic of China, there was an intimation in the news reports that it would not be content unless it extended itself three thousand miles beyond to modestly take in the great archipelago comprising the East Indies, presumably with a view of shutting out all equality of trade opportunities among nations, while Japan would continue to demand equality of trade opportunities in every other part of the world; that there did not exist any selfish or other reason on the part of other nations to interfere in the least with equality of trade opportunities on the part of Japan. The Ambassador again stated that his Government was satisfied about the Netherlands East Indies situation in the light of the renewed promises of each of the three other governments interested, and that they had no plans or purposes to proceed there to contact the Netherlands East Indies. I expressed my satisfaction with his statement, but again reminded him of my difficulty to

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

understand the policy of the Japanese Government or
the Japanese press, whichever it was, to continue
various lines of discussion indicating a claim to
some sort of special interest of Japan in the Netherlands East Indies situation; that in a recent statement,
I had set forth rather comprehensively and succinctly
the position of this Government that the status quo
should be respected and preserved by each of the
four governments; that the real question presented
actually related to the entire Pacific area and that
no further claboration beyond my recent statement on
this subject would appear to add to anything I then
said.

"I still interpret the Ambassador's visit as one under instructions to develop a pretext to support Japan in connection with its plans and purposes toward the Netherlands East Indies.

"C(ORDELL) H(FLL)"

We invite the Tribunal's attention to court exhibit 1014, a memorandum by the United States Ambassador to Japan, dated 10 June 1940. In this memorandum the Ambassador quotes the Japanese Foreign Minister as asserting categorically that Japan entertained no territorial ambitions and that any suspicion that Japan intended to proceed against the Netherlands

Indies was entirely unjustified. We next offer in evidence prosecution 2 document 22011, a telegram from the United States 3 Ambassador in the Soviet Union to the United States Secretary of State, dated 24 March 1941. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 220M will receive exhibit No. 1289. 8 9 (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 1289 and received in evidence.) 11 12 IR. C. R. STROOKER: We will now read the 13 first paragraph only of exhibit No. 1289. 14 "The Ambassador in the Soviet Union (Steinhardt) 15 to the Fecretary of Ftate. 16 "(Paraphrase -- Extracts) 17 "Moscow, Parch 24, 1041--3 p.m. 18 "(Received March 25--7.30 a.m.) 19 "This morning I was given the opportunity by 20 invitation of the Japanese Ambassador, to talk with 21 MATS-UOKA for an hour. 22 "MAT: UCKA was emphatic in stating that under no 23 circumstances would Japan attack singapore or any of 24 the American, British, or Dutch possessions, and he 25 was insistent that Japan has no territorial ambitions."

'Japan, he said, was ready at any moment to join the 1 United states in a guarantee of the territorial 12 integrity or independence of the Philippine Islands. 3 As an evidence of Japan's lack of territorial 4 ambitions, MATSUCKA referred to the outcome of his mediation of the dispute between Thailand and French Indo China. He said that Japan would not go to war 7 with the United States, and added that from his reading of American history it appeared that it was the 9 United states which went to war with other countries; 10 if a conflict should take place it would come about 11 12 only as the result of affinmative action by the

We shall now present to the Tribunal a number of documents, showing how a policy of military expansion southward was gradually conceived and later officially adopted by Japan.

We respectfully call the Tribunal's attention to court exhibit No. 979, entitled "The Fundamental Principle of our National Policy," dated 11 August 1936, and signed by the Prime Minister and the War, Navy, Finance and Foreign Ministers.

We would like to point out that at this time the accused HIROTA was Prime l'inister and the accused NAGANO was Navy Minister.

23 24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

United States."

With the Tribunal's permission we would like to read the first paragraph only of exhibit 979.

THE PRE-IDENT: You may.

MRs. C. R. STROCKER: Thank you.

(Reading): "'The fundamental principle of our national policy,' (signed by the five linisters -- the Fremier, War, Navy, Finance, and Foreign Ministers-- 11 August 1936).

"Fundamentals of our National Policy.

the state based on righteousness lies in realizing the ideal of our national foundation by strengthening the foundation of our country internally and prospering externally, thereby making the Japanese Empire develop into the stabilization Power, nominal and virtual, in the East Asia, secure peace in the Orient and contribute to the peace and welfare of mankind throughout the world. In view of the situation of the Empire, both home and abroad, to establish the fundamental national colicy consists in securing a steady footing of our Empire in the Eastern Continent as well as developing in the South Seas, under the joint efforts of diplomatic skill and national defense. The general basic principles are as follows:"

I don't think it will be necessary to read them.

10

11 12

13 14

20

We offer for identification only, Prosecution Document 487, a book by the accused HASHIMOTO, entitled "Addresses to Young Men", published in 1937.

We offer in evidence Prosecution Document 487B. being excerpts from the aforesaid book.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE CO RT: Prosecution's Document No. 487 will be given exhibit No. 1290 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, to-wit, Document 487B will receive exhibit No. 1290A.

(Whereupon, Prosecution's Document No. 487 was marked Prosecution's Exhibit No. 1290 for identification. Prosecution's Document 487B was marked Prosecution's Exhibit No. 1290A, and was received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKER: We shall now read part of exhibit 1200A, from the very beginning: "Addresses to Young Men by HASFIMOTO Kingoro. Chapter VII. World's Japan; Japan's World.

"We have already said that there are only 21 three ways left to Japan to excape from the pressure of surplus population. Our situation is like that of several dozens of people crammed into a small room of about sixty feet square. And there are only three doors of escape left open for us, namely emigration, inroads

into world markets, and expansion of territories. The first door, emigration, has been slammed in our faces by the anti-Japanese immigration policy of other countries. The second door, inroads into world markets, also is being pushed back by high tariff walls, and the abrogation of commercial treaties.

"What must Japan do when two of the three doors have been closed against her?

"It is quite a natural force of circumstances for Japan to rush to the last door remaining open.

"It may sound dangerous when we speak of territorial expansion, but the territorial expansion which we have in mind does not necessarily mean the occupation of other countries' territories, the planting of the Japanese flag thereon and the declaration of their annexation to Japan. It simply means that since the powers of the world have gone too far in suppressing the penetration of Japanese materials and merchandise abroad, we are looking for a place somewhere beyond the seas where Japanese capital, skill and labour can have free play without the oppression of the white race.

moral rights have the world powers which have already closed with their own hands the two doors of emigration and inroads into world markets to criticize Japan's

attempt to rush out of the tird door open to her?

"If they do not approve of this, they should open the doors which they themselves had closed against us and permit freedom of activity abroad to Japanese emigrants and merchandise.

"Thus, the Manchurian Incident suddenly broke out in September of Showa 6 /1931/"

Now, continue reading from the 16th line from the bottom of page 2 onward:

"Then, suppose there is still on this earth land endowed with abundant underground natural resources which has not yet been developed at all by the white race, would it not be God's wishes and Providence's will for the Japanese to go over there and develop it for the well-being of mankind?

"And there still remain many many lands of this kind on this earth.

"The SOUTH SEAS ISLANDS are one example.

"The SOUTH SEAS ISLANDS are located at a very short distance from the southernmost point of FORMOSA. They are also located at a point where they can be reached by motor fishing boats from our SOUTH SEAS MANDATED ISLANDS.

"There are large islands, such as BORNEO,

CELEBES and NEW GUINEA scattered throughout the seas."

GUINEA are large islands, larger than the whole of JAPAN.

"The Netherlands is the titular owner of most of those islands, and thus they are called NETHERLANDS EAST INDIES. However, what the Dutch have actually developed is the small island of JAVA only, the other islands being left almost untouched. The Netherlands, even if they wished, find their hands full with the island of JAVA alone, and have no reserve power for the development of the other islands."

We now convinue reading from paragraph 11 on page 4 onwards:

"For this reason we demand lands in the north, south, east and west of JAPAN where the Japanese people may freely develop their powers. What we seek is not nominal territories, but a new land where Japanese labour and technology and merchandise and capital may freely display without any persecution their activities and develop the riches now lying idle. We loudly call upon the whole world for such lands.

"Mowever, let us turn our thoughts to the time when JAPAN makes up her mind and starts her southward development. We must be prepared to encounter a great obstacle lying obstinately in our way. Although the

7 8

Netherlands owns the greater part of the SOUTH SEA USLANDS, the actual power which protects these islands is the BRITISH EMPIRE, which boasts of the greatest navy in the world."

We now continue to read from the fourth line on page 5:

"Therefore, before we aspire to effect overseas development, we must make a great resolution.

If we are thoughtless enough to plan this advance without this preparation, our scheme would show a reckless
failure to understand history.

"Nevertheless, we call upon the world for our right to expand overseas. We demand this because, unless we are to starve willy-nilly in this small island country, the only way out of it is to effect overseas development by seeking new lands in the wide world around us.

"As already stated the world has no moral right to deny us this right.

"Of course, it would be out of the question if the Japanese race were an inferior race without the ability to develop new lands and without the qualifications to govern. However, the superior ability of the Japanese race has already been tested."

We read from the third line on page 6 onward:

"Facts speak most eloquently. It is only a dream of days gone by that only the white men are entitled to rule the world. The facts show plainly which of the two, the white men or the Japanese are better qualified to develop overseas lands.

"Of course, we do not wholly extol our past rule of KOREA and FORMOSA. There are too many defects to enumerate here. And yet in spite of these defects we must say that lands developed and peoples ruled by such a superior race as the Japanese are fortunate compared with those under the tyrannical rule of the white men.

"We are able to state these facts to the whole world with no diffidence whatever."

2 3

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

> 17 18

> 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

We offer in evidence prosecution document 1644, being an official declaration by the Japanese Government on November 3, 1938. At this time the accused ARAKI, ITAGAKI and KIDO were members of the Caminet.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1644 will receive exhibit No. 1291.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1291, and was received in evidence.) MRS. STROOKER: (Reading)

"STATEMENT OF THE INFERIAL JAPANESE COVERNMENT CON-CERNING THE FUTURE OF EAST ASIA

"3 November, 1938

"Ty the august virtue of His Majesty, the Imperial Army and Naval forces have succeeded in occupying Centon, Wuchang, Henkow, and Hauveng, and the main territory of China has been concuered. The National Government has been reduced to a local regime. But, as long as the regime continues the anti-Japan and pro-Communist nolicy, Imperial Japan will never lay down arms until the regime is completely destroyed. The ultimate aim of Imperial Japan is to establish a New Order which will secure eternal peace in the Far

Eart, and this is the final purpose of the present war.

"The foundation of the New Order can be established through the collaboration of Manchukuo and Chine with Japan in economics, politics and culture, hased on cooperation and mutual aid. The New Order should sim at the establishment of international justice, anti-Communist cooperation, the new culture and economic unity in the Far Fast. This is what stabilizes East Asia and promotes world development. What Japan expects of China is for her to take partial charge of the duty of establishing the New Order in the Far East. Imperial Japan expects the people of China to understand Japan's sincerity and reply to Japan by giving her cooperation. In case the National Government starts its life anew by casting away the old policies and changing its staff, it will not be refused entry into the camp of the New Order.

"Because Imperial Japan believes that the powers will rightly understand Japan's intentions and that they will change their attitude in order to suit the situation in East Asia, Japan is especially grateful for the kindness of the Allied Nations.

"Believing that the establishment of the New Order in the Fer East is originating from the spirit

2

3

4

5

7

5

8

9

11

12

14

15

15

18

19 20

21

22

23

of the national foundation, the completion of the task is the glorious mission imposed on the neople of Japan. Imperial Japan should take firm steps to renovate the various internal systems, to develop the total nower of the nation and should advance to attain the mentioned purpose.

. "This is the unmoveble principle and resolution of the Imperial Government."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, on behalf of defendant KIDO I call your attention to the fact that the certificate attached to this document just read shows that it was found in the Foreign Ministry. In General Mulder's opening statement, he said, on page 5, "In November 1938, the first KCNOYE Cabinet issued the official declaration."

I wish to call the Tribunal's attention to the fact there is nothing in this document which shows it was issued by the Cabinet.

THE PRESIDENT: We note what you say, Mr. Logan, without necessarily agreeing with it.

MRS. STROOKER: The Tribunal's attention is invited to Court exhibit 509, being a report on a visit by the accused OSHIMA and General TERAUCHI to German Headquarters in September 1939. On this occasion the accused OSHIMA gave as his opinion that Japan was now ready for an advance to the south.

We invite the Tribunal's attention to exhibits 517, 518 and 519, being telegrams exchanged between the German Ambassador in Tokyo and the German Foreign Minister in May 1940. In these telegrams the German Ambassador reported that he had explained to the Japanese Government that Germany was not

interested in the Netherlands Indies, and he further stated that this declaration by Germany was considered by the Japanese press as a "carte blanche" for Japan.

The Tribunal's attention is called to Court exhibit 523, being a telegram from the German Ambassador in Tokyo to the German Foreign Minister, dated 24 June 1940. The German Ambassador reported that the Japanese Minister for Overseas Affairs, the accused KOISO, had enquired from him what Germany's attitude would be to military activity by Japan in Indo-China and parts of the Netherlands Indies.

The Tribunal's attention is invited to Court exhibits 527 and 528, being the minutes of a joint conference of Army, Navy and Foreign Office authorities on 12 and 16 July 1940, in which Japan's attitude toward the southern regions and Japan's future domination of these areas were extensively discussed.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: If the Tribunal please, in regard to the Minister of Overseas Affairs, KOISO, I would like to state that on page 6 of the opening statement, document No. 6912 of the prosecution, I think the Court may have judged the way it was referred to that 'the Minister of Overseas Affairs, the accused KOISO, inquired again what Germany's attitude ... " I don't think the "again" referred to General KOISO, but to a previous paragraph. But to avoid any misunderstanding I waited until it was raised at this time by reference to the document, which is the only document in question, and call the Court's attention to page 6165 of the proceedings, page 6175 and also 6826 where that was discussed fully in a previous case with the prosecution's side. It will be recalled that there was confusion of the Foreign Ministry and Ministry of Overseas Affairs. It was also pointed out that KOISO was in retirement as a private citizen at that time.

MRS. STROOKER: Your Honor, I am instructed to deny that KOISO was a private citizen at that time. He was Minister of Overseas Affairs.

Referring to exhibit 523, which is dated the 24th of June 1940, in paragraph 2: "The Minister of Overseas Affairs, General KOISO, asked me in a

23 24

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

conversation... He was Minister of Overseas Affairs until 22 July.

MR. BROOKS: I see no---

THE PRESIDENT: Well now, we are not going to have any debate about it. You can prove otherwise in the course of the evidence for the defense. You are not going to take over the prosecution.

MR. BROOKS: I don't want to debate about it, your Honor, I just call reference to those pages that I gave. Our position is clear.

. 5

THE PRESIDENT: Mrs. Strooker.

MRS. STROOKER: The next five documents, which we will introduce in evidence, deal with a proposal by the American Government to the Japanese Government in June 1940, that a formal declaration be made that neither Japan nor the United States should allow a change in the status quo in the southern Pacific and the reasons why Japan refused to make such a formal declaration.

We offer in evidence prosecution document 220-R, being a telegram from the United States Secretary of State to the United States Ambassador in Japan, dated 22 June 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 220-R will receive exhibit No. 1292.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1292, and was received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit 1292.

"Foreign Relations of the United States.

Japan, 1931-1941. Pages 86-87.

"The Secretary of State to the Ambassador in Japan (Grew). (Paraphrase) "

P

•

"Washington, June 22, 1940 - noon.

"Unless you perceive any objection, it is my desire that at an early moment you call upon the Foreign Minister and in strictest confidence explore with him in continuation of your conversations of June 10 and June 19, the question of possibly arriving at an understanding between the American Government and the Japanese Government through an exchange of notes along the following lines:

"The interest of both countries in keeping to a minimum the adverse effects of the war in Europe is the basis upon which the understanding would be premised. The understanding would refer to this interest. In the proposed notes there would be expressed the agreement between the Government of the United States and the Japanese Government that they have a common desire that the status quo, except as it may be modified by peaceful means, be maintained with regard to the possessions and territories of belligerent European powers in the Pacific area. There might also be in the proposed notes a provision for consultation between the Governments of the two countries should any question arise involving the status quo in respect to the Pacific possessions and territories of belligerent European powers which

1

2

3

4

5

renders consultation desirable in the opinion of either the Japanese Government or the Government of the United States.

"In the proposed exchange of notes this
Government envisages and would understand the phrase
relating to possessions and territories in the Pacific
area of belligerent European powers to cover and
include their possessions and territories in all parts
of the Pacific Occan.

"This suggestion relates to a particular and definite problem, that of averting an introduction of new complications and new possibilities of difficulty and friction into the general situation in the Pacific. As you will realize and will keep constantly in mind, it does not involve and should not be inferred to imply any withdrawal from positions heretofore taken regarding any specific problems in the relationships between the two countries. It is intended as a preventive rather than a curative measure. At the same time, it is our belief, and we hope it will be that of the Japanese, that the possibility of contributing substantially toward making situations better is within procedures which tend to prevent situations from becoming worse. If adopted, we believe this procedure would tend to turn public

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14 15

> 16 17

18 19

20

21

23

thought toward consideration of peaceful and cons-2 tructive processes. It would tend to dissipate 3 various suspicions which apparently prevail among the 4 public and to curtail various types of inflammatory discussion and agitation. It would take care of the 6 particular present and future problem to which it 7 would expressly relate, and, although it would in no way dispose of the many and various specific questions 9 which have been and are the subject matter of current 10 and past discussion between our two Governments, it 11 might facilitate solution of some of them. 12

"Hull."

We introduce in evidence prosecution document 220-S, being a memorandum by the United States Ambassador in Japan, dated 24 June 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 220-S will receive exhibit No. 1293.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1293, and was received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit 1293.

"Foreign Relations of the United States.

Japan, 1931-1941. Pages 88-89.

"Memorandum by the Ambassador in Japan (Grew).

22 23 24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"(Tokyo) June 24, 1940.

"When I called on the Foreign Minister at 11 o'clock this morning at the private house of a common friend, we discussed in strictest confidence the suggestion proposed in the Department's telegram No. 215, June 22, noon, with reference to an exchange of notes regarding upholding the status quo with regard to the Pacific territories and possessions of belligerent European countries.

"The Minister gave his close attention to
the diverse points in my oral argument and all the
questions he put to me were covered in the Department's
instructions. When asked whether the Pacific
mandated islands were included in our proposal, I
answered that if he desired I would submit the point
to my Government, but he did not pursue the subject.

gestion would be given his close study and that he would reply soon. He stated further that, unless a number of the many outstanding differences between the United States and Japan were first solved, he, offhand, and in his own opinion, thought that the suggestion might be difficult to accept. When asked to which difficulties he referred in particular, the Minister replied that the absence of a commercial

1 2

..

.

treaty was the outstanding difficulty.

"In view of some of his comments I made clear at the conclusion of our talk that our present proposal must not be misunderstood to imply any retreat from positions previously held regarding any particular problems between Japan and the United States, but I added that I found it significant in my own opinion that our exploration today was regarded by my Government as the continuance of the conversations of June 10 and 19, which were entered into with the express intent of discovering means to ameliorate American-Japanese relations.

"Mr. ARITA said that the Japanese pross must have called my attention to the trend of public opinion in Japan, which was strongly for closer relations with Germany and Italy and was continually growing in strength. He claimed that he was personally, as I well knew, in favor of a rapprochement with the United States, but that the situation today opened him to severe criticism, and that the problem was extremely difficult.

"J(oseph) C. G(rew)."

We offer in evidence prosecution document 1632-FF, being the entry for 27 June 1949 in the diary of the accused KIDO, exhibit 178 for

identification. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 3 No. 1632-FF will receive exhibit No. 1294. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1294, and was received in evidence.) 8 MRS. STROOKER: We will now read a part of exhibit 1294. 10 "KIDO's Diary. 27 June, 1940 (Thurs.), Rainy. 11 "Finance Minister SAMURAUCHI called and 12 opinions were exchanged on the strengthening of the 13 political structure and other problems. 14 "Went to the office at 10:00 where 15 Mr. OKANE, Chief of the General Affairs Section, came 16 to me for consultation upon the matter of the Emperor's 17 visit to HAYAMA. 18 "Talked to Chief Secretary, MATSUDAIRA, regarding the procedure at the time of the Cabinet 20 change. Foreign Minister, ARITA, came to the 21 Palace and we had a talk as follows: 22 "Ambassador Grew recently requested a meeting, 23 saying that he has a proposal for a treaty, which 24 it is desired to conclude, between Japan and America, 25 which will maintain the status quo in the Pacific and

prevent forceful changes. However, since it is an extremely delicate matter and since it would be inadvisable at this time to have Japan's activities, including those in the Netherlands, restricted and since it will probably end in something like a revival of the Nine-Power Treaty, prompt acceptance will be difficult. If it were limited to the question of Japanese and American Pacific Islands it might possibly be considered."

21 22

MRS. STROOKER: We offer in evidence prosecution document 1632 GG, being the entry for July 1, 1940, in the diary of the accused KIDO, exhibit 178 for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLIRK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1632 GG will receive exhibit No. 1295.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1295 and received in evidence.)

exhibit 1295:

"1 July 1940 Monday (fine)

"SURITATE visited me at 9 a.m. Went to the office at ten. The Aide-de-Camp came to see me. He told me the Army's advocacy and views regarding Foreign Minister ARITA's broadcast which was featured in this mornings papers.

"Audience from 10:35 to 11:10.

"Replied to the Emperor's questions as to the actual facts about the Foreign Minister's broadcast and other things.

"At 11:20 talked again with the Aide-de-Camp on the same matter. Before attending the luncheon held by the Manchukuo Emperor I had a talk with the Foreign

t

1 2 3

Minister ARITA and heard from his own line the truth about the broadcast. After luncheon we met again and the Foreign Minister discussed the following matters:

The circumstances of the ARITA broad-

5

cast.

"1.

6

8

9

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

19

21

22

24

"2. The tense situation in Hongkong and so forth -- in regard to this the real facts are not yet clear.

mo in the Pacific proposed by Ambassador Grew, his idea is that the time is not appropriate for a sattlement regarding territories which would include those of the belligerent nations. However, if it were limited to matters between Japan and America it could be considered."

We introduce in evidence prosecution document 220T being an oral statement by the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs to the United States Ambassador to Japan on 28 June 1940 as reported by the Ambassador.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 220T will receive exhibit No. 1296.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1296 and received in evidence.)

MBS. STROOKFR: We will now read parts of this document:

"Oral Statement by the Japanese Minister for Foreign Affairs (ARITA) to the American Ambassador in Japan (Grew),

"Tokyo, June 28, 1940.

"I have given the most careful study to the proposal which Your Excellency set forth at our meeting on June 24. In view of present international conditions, however, I doubt whether consideration should be given to an exchange of formal notes between our two countries on the basis of a policy of giving effect directly to this proposal. In Furope at the present time hostilities are progressing. Japan is greatly concerned with the effect which the development of the hostilities will have on the status of the possessions and territories in the Pacific area of European belligerent nations. Under this situation, during a transitionary period, for the United States and Japan, which countries are not belligerents, to conclude any sort of an agreement concerning; these possessions and territories would, it must be feared, give rise to very delicate relationships for Japan which has taken a position of non-involvement. I am, therefore, endeavoring at this time to offset and to prevent the spread

24

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of the European disturbance to the Pacific Ocean and With that purpose in mind and from that point of view, I believe it to be timely and appropriate to consider whether or not there is no room for discussions of problems concerning only the United States and Japan.

"As I stated on the 24th, however, we cannot consider the American proposal dissociated from conversations which have been held hitherto, and in order to make further progress in our conversations concerning your proposal I believe it to be necessary in the first place to be informed of your Government's views with regard to the statements set forth in my oral statement dated June 12th."

The Tribunal's attention is invited to
Court Exhibit 541 containing a Cabinet decision of
26 July 1940. This decision is an outline of Japan's
basic national policy. I may point out that on
22 July 1940 the YONAI Cabinet had been replaced by
the Second KONOYE Cabinet of which the accused
HOSHINO and TOJO, and at a later stage also the accused
HIRANUMA and SUZUKI were members.

Following the Cabinet decision of 26 July 1940, contained in Exhibit 541, the Japanese Government published an official announcement regarding the basic Japanese Government policy on August 1, 1940,

and the new Foreign Minister MATSUOKA issued a declaration on the same subject. These two statements are contained in prosecution document 823B (4), being excerpt from court exhibit 777 for identification.

We offer prosecution document 823B (4) in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 823B (4) will receive exhibit No. 1297.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosccution's exhibit No. 1297 and received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKIR: We will now read parts of exhibit 1297, top of page 1:

"Foreign Office Announcements 1940:

"August 1, 1940. Announcement by the Japanese Government.

ing point, and it is about to witness the creation of new forms of government, economy, and culture, based upon the growth and development of sundry groups of states. Japan, too, is confronted by a great trial such as she has never experienced in history. In order to carry out fully at this juncture our national policy in accordance with the lofty spirit in which the

country was founded, it is an important task of urgent necessity to us that we should grasp the inevitable trends in the developments of world history, effect speedily fundamental renovations along all lines of government, and strive for the perfection of a state structure for national defense. Accordingly, the general lines of the country's fundamental national policies have been formulated as follows:

"Summery of Fundamental National Policies.
"1. Basic Policy.

"The basic aim of Japan's national policy lies in the firm establishment of world peace in accordance with the lofty spirit of HAKKO ICHIU, in which the country was founded, and in the construction, as the first step, of a New Order in Greater East Asia, having for its foundation the solidarity of Japan, Manchukuo and China.

"Japan will, therefore, devote the total strength of the nation to the fulfillment of the above policy by setting up swiftly an unshakable national structure of her own adapted to meet the requirements of new developments both at home and abroad."

We will now continue to read from the bottom of page 3:

"August 1, 1940. Statement of Mr. Yosuke

10

12

14

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

MATSUOKA, Minister for Foreign Affairs.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I have always said that the mission of Japan is to proclaim and demonstrate the KODO throughout the world. Viewed from the standpoint of international relations, this amounts, I think, to enabling all nations and races to find each its proper place in the world. Accordingly, the immediate aim of our foreign policy at present is to establish, in accordance with the lofty spirit of the KODO, a great Fost Asian chain of common prosperity with the Japan-Manchoukuo-China group as one of the links. We shall thus be able to demonstrate the KODO in the most effective manner, and pove the way toward the establishment of an equitable world peace. We should be resolved to surmount all obstacles, both meterial and spiritual, lying in our path. Furthermore, in concert with those friendly ' Powers which ere prepared to co-operate with us, we should strive with courage and determination for the fulfillment of the ideal and the heaven-ordained mission of our country."

The Tribunal's attention is invited to exhibits 550 and 552, containing Foreign Minister MATSUOKA's explanation regarding the conclusion of the Tripartite Pact for the Council in the Imperial Presence and the minutes of the meeting of the Privy

Council Investigation Committee on 26 September 1940, discussing this same treety. In both exhibits the boundaries of the Greater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere, over which Japan would exert leadership, ere outlined by Foreign Minister MATSUOKA. The whole southern area, including the Neth rlands Indies, is stated to fall within this Co-prosperity Sphere under the leadership of Japan.

The Tribunal's attention is called to Court exhibit 861, being a Japanese Government Cabinet decision of 3 October 1940, concerning the economic measures to be taken for the organization of the Coprosperity Sphere and for the expansion of this Sphere to southeastern Asia and the southern areas.

To show that at this time consideration was given to an expansion through military aggression southward we offer in evidence prosecution document 1632HH, being the entry for 10 August 1940 in the secused KIDO's Diary, exhibit 178 for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1632HH will receive exhibit No. 1298.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1298 and received in evidence.)

24

1

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MRS. STROOKER: (Reading)

"10 August 1940 (Saturday) (Cloudy - rainy).

"Went to the office at eleven.

"At 11:30 conferred with chief Aide-de-Camp re the progress of the campaign against the Chungking regime since the last time.

"At 1:45 was received in audience.

"The Emperor told me what he and Prince
FUSHIMI, Chief of the Navy General Staff, had talked
about when they dined together. The Prince said
that the Navy at present wishes to avoid the use of
force against the Netherlands Indies and Singapore,
and that, since at least eight months will be required
for preparation after a decision for war is made, the
later war comes the better."

we invite the Tribunal's attention to court exhibit 628, containing four separate documents, of which the first is dated 28 September 1940 and entitled "Outline of Japanese Foreign Policy," and the second one is dated 4 October 1940 and entitled "Tentative Plan for Pelicy Toward the Southern Regions." As only a few parts of these documents have been read, we will now, with the Tribunal's permission, read further parts from them.

We will begin reading at the middle of page 1:

"TOP SECRET. Outline of Japanese Foreign Policy. (28 Sept. 1940).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Policy. We must promptly strengthen the ccalition between Japan and the German-Italian Axis based on the world policy, and make, furthermore, a rapid improvement in and adjustment of the Japanese-Foviet diplomatic-relations. At the same time we must make effort to realize the general peace between Japan and China by making use of the pressure of Germany and the Soviet Union, and, thereby, prompt the establishment of the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere. Giving pressure, in this way, to the Anglo-American Axis, accompanying the intensification of Japan's diplomatic machinary, we should offer our good offices at a proper time to Britian in order that she may make peace with Germany, and, moreover, we should carry out an epac-making adjustment of Jananese-American diplomatic relations. Thus, we expect to establish a peaceful system among Japan, Germanv, Italy, the Soviet Union, America and Britian for the reconstruction of world peace.

"Outline of the uplan

"I. trengthening of coalition between Japan

and the German-Italian Axis.

"should be carried out according to the decision made by the council in the Imperial presence on sept. 18, and the Tripartite Alliance among Japan, Germany and Italy on sept. 27.

"II. Adjustment of Foviet-Japanese Diplomatic Relations.

"should be carried out according to 'Draft
Plan for Adjustment of Soviet-Japanese Diplomatic
Relations' in the accompanying paper.

Japan and China.

"should be carried out according to the Policy of Rapid Disposition of the China Incident in the accompanying paper.

"IV. Establishment of the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere.

"(1) In the regions including French Indo-China, Dutch East Indies, Strait Settlement, British Malars, Thailand, the Philippine Islands, British Borneo and Burma, with Japan, Manchukuo and China as centre, we should construct a sphere in which politics, economy and culture of those countries and regions are combined.

"(a) French Indo-China and Dutch East Indies."

3

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

"We must, in the first place, endeavor to conclude a comprehensive economic agreement (including distribution of resources, trade adjustment in and out of the Co-Prosperity Sthere, currency and exchange agreement, etc.), while planning such political coalitions as the recognition of independence, conclusion of mutual assistance pact, etc. "(b) Thailand. We should strive to strengthen the mutual assistance and coalition in political, economic, and military affairs. "(2)

Towards the countries outside the Co-Prosperity Sphere, we must take every measure so that those countries will admit the establishment of our *Co-Prosperity Sphere and co-operate with it."

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

20 21

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

22

24

E d 2 r & r a 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mrs. Strooker.

MRS. STROOKER: We were reading exhibit I ask to be allowed to continue on page 4, the

second plan. (heading):

"Very Secret. Tentative Plan for Policy towards the Southern Regions. October 4, 1940.

"Although the objective of Japan's penetration into the Southern regions cover, in its first stage, the whole area to the west of Hawaii excluding for the time being the Philippines and Guam; French Indo-China, the Dutch East Indies, British Burma and the Strait Settlements are the areas where we should first control. Then, we should gradually advance into the other areas. However, depending upon the ati:tude of the United States Government, the Philippines and Guam will be included."

We will continue to read from the second paragraph on page 6 of the English text onward:

- "(3) British Malaya and the Strait Settlements.
- "(a) To avoid the danger that the natural resources in the Dutch East Indies may be destroyed, within the possible limits of war strategy, we should use military power in these areas prior to using it

24

in the Dutch East Indies. (There is a necessity that we investigate the strength of Singapore, and also in case we lay our hands on one of the British territories, whether or not we are strategically forced to extend to the other British territories immediately after.)

1

2

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"(b) We should conclude a military alliance with Thailand, and use Thailand as a rear base. However, in order to delay her in making preparations, it is well to pretend that the diplomatic relations between Japan and Thailand are not secure until we start military action. (In case we consider that the military alliance cannot be kept in strict secrecy because of the internal affairs of Thailand, there is room for consideration that we should set up a secret committee based on the non-aggression treaty between Japan and Thailand to enable us to enter into a military alliance as soon as we start military action.)

"(c) Military operations shall be started simultaneously with German military operations to land on the British mainland or after the lapse of a proper period. However, we must maintain close contact with Germany, keeping her to act in concert with us.

"In case Germany gives up her intention to land on the British mainland, we, maintaining liaison with Germany, should start our military operations at the time when Germany carries out her most severe battle, or when an appropriate period has passed after that. In case Britain should yield to Germany prior to the common cement of our military action, even though the internal situation is not favorable to Japan, we must at least by diplomatic means, on the occasion of peace between Britain and Germany, make Britain remove the defense installations on Singapore and make her conclude an economic treaty with Japan which will be advantageous to Japan.

"(d) In case we are forced to act without relation with our plans in regard to Chiang Kai-shek, by using the pretext that Britain is aiding Chiang Kai-shek by the Burma route, and in case the plans have proved to be a success, by using the pretext that we cannot stand the Oriental peace being threatened by the British military forced based in Singapore, we should request Britain to return Hong Kong, British Malaya, and the Strait Settlements (including British Borneo depending upon the circumstances) to the races in East Asia and upon her refusal, start war. (The above pretexts hamper in some ways our relations with the United States, but it is likely that something which we can use as a direct

-

reason, will rise by that time.)

- "(e) Following the case of French Indo-China, the former territory of Thailand shall be returned to Thailand and the other regions shall be made protectorates. But the Strait Settlements must be placed under the direct rule of Japan.
- "(f) In the newly established independent countries the enterprise rights of the nationals of the third countries with which we are at peace shall follow the case in French Indo-China.
 - "(4) Dutch East Indies.
- "(a) While the attack on Singapore is going on, or immediately after it, by showing at the proper time our state for attacking the Dutch East Indies, we should present the following requests, and if they are not admitted, we should use military power.
- "(i) As the Dutch Government in England, which the Dutch East Indies considers to be its suzerain, does not exist according to international law, the Dutch East Indies shall at once declare its independence for the peace of Greater East Asia, and announce an appropriate name for itself.
- "(ii) The sovereign and the constitution shall be decided by a committee consisting of several Japanese, Dutch people born there, natives, and

Chinese. (It must be so arranged that the total number of the Japanese and natives number more than half of the committee.) Until the sovereign and the constitution are decided, this committee shall carry out the administration.

"(iii) The Governor-General and all other
Dutch officials of the highest rank shall be forced
to resign. But their official titles, honors and
pay should be left as they are. The position of the
Dutch people other than the aforementioned will be
recognized as they are.

"(iv) If any of the important natural resources should be destroyed, all the persons connected with the raw material, ten government officials concerned, shall be severely punished as being the responsible persons. (This matter should be announced widely beforehand by radio and other means.)

"We should, if possible, at a proper time before presenting the aforementioned requests, cause an independence movement to stir up among the natives.

"(b) If it is considered a better plan from the viewpoint of strategy that we act first with the Dutch East Indies, the time to start the activity against this area would be at the same time as the opening of Germany's military operation to land on

the British mainland, or after the lapse of a proper period.

"In case that Germany gives up her intention to land on the British mainland, we should start action, choosing an appropriate time before Britain and Germany cease hostilities.

7

8

"(c) After the Dutch East Indies become independent, we must conclude a protective treaty under the name of military alliance, and make her appoint Japanese military and economic advisers who will be in powerful positions. We must lease the places which are important from the military point of view.

11 12

10

"(d) The enterprise rights of the nationals of the third countries with which we are at peace shall follow the case in French Indo-China."

14 15

13

With the Tribunal's permission, we will turn back to Page 5 to read the paragraph referred to here, which is paragraph (d), near the middle of that page:

16 17

> 18 19

> 20

21

22 23

24

25

"(d) In the new independent States, the right of enterprise for the nationals of the third countries with which we are at peace will be recognized. But, they will have to follow the Government's instructions in developing important resources, and in disposing of the products."

We will now continue to read where we left off near the middle of Page 9:

- "(5) British Bornero and Others.
- "(a) After we have grasped real power in the Dutch East Indies and Singapore, we must take proper measures to get hold of real power in other British territories.
- "(b) Hongkong is to be returned to China.

 (However, if it is important strategically, it shall be reconsidered.)
- "(c) Following what has been stated about French Indo-China, the Dutch East Indies, Malaya, etc., we shall consider locally the administrative system in each place.
 - "(6) Australian Territories.

"To be considered separately."

We present for identification only prosecution document 587, being an issue of the Yomiuri newspaper for 27 October 1940. The Tribunal's permission is requested to substitute a photostat copy of the newspaper exhibit for the original, which has been tendered in evidence, as the original document should, if possible, be returned to its owner.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 587 will receive exhibit No. 1299, for identification

only. (Whereupon, the document above re-ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1299 for identification.) MRS. STHOOKER: An excerpt from this news-paper, prosecution document 587-A, being an article by the accused OSHIMA, is offered in evidence.

輸

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

would like to object to the introduction of this document for the reason that it is not an article written by the defendant OSHIMA, in the first place, and in the second place, is that it is not an official document of the Japanese government. I ask that its reception be deferred until the party making the certificate can be called for cross-examination to testify as to the conditions under which the article was created, for the following reason: that it arose while the defendant was in retirement and held no official position in the Japanese government. I should like to have the editor of the paper who made the certificate here for cross-examination before it is received in evidence.

MRS. STROOKER: Your Honor, I would request to be allowed to read the certificate attached to the excerpt.

THE PRESIDENT: Before you do so, of course it isn't necessary that an accused should be a government official. A man can conspire in his private capacity. You may read the certificate.

MRS. STROOKER (Reading):

"AFFIDAVIT

-

"I, Yusai TAKAHASHI, certify that from 1933 until December 1945 I was editor-in-chief of the 'Yomiuri Shinbun' and that I recognize the attached International Prosecution Section document number 587A as an article written under the name of Miroshi OSFIMA appearing in the Yomiuri Shinbun of October 27, 1940.

"I further certify that the above mentioned article was published under the name of Firoshi OSHIMA with his consent."

Signed Yusai TAKAHASHI.

I submit, your Fonor, that with that certificate the burden of proof is upon the defense if they wish to call the certifier to prove.

THE PRESIDENT: It is quite a common thing if an affidavit is used by one side for that side to be compelled to produce the deponent for cross-examination. Mr. Cunningham is within his rights. We will consider whether we will call him or not. The document is admitted on the usual terms.

Will the court reporter please read what Mr. Cunningham said about OSHIMA's attitude towards that article?

("hereupon, the official court reporter read Mr. Cunningham's remarks,

as follows:)

1

5

7

8

10

11

12

14.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

"MR. CUNNINGHAM: If the Tribunal please, I would like to object to the introduction of this document for the reason that it is not an article written by the defendant OSHIMA, in the first place, and in the second place, is that it is not an official document of the Japanese government. that its reception be deferred until the party making the certificate can be called for cross-examination to testify as to the conditions under which the article was created, for the following reason: that it arose while the defendant was in retirement and held no official position in the Japanese government. I should like to have the editor of the paper who made the certificate here for cross-examination before it is received in evidence."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham, we would like to know whether you are denying the truth of the certificate, whether you allege the certificate contains a false statement, and if so, where is the false statement.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I might explain that by stating the circumstances that --

> THE PRESIDENT: I want you to answer that. MR. CUNNINGHAM: I claim the certificate

25

doesn't go far enough.

THE PRESIDENT: You don't deny any statement in it?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: It is -- I only state that it is misleading and I would like to explain it.

THE PRESIDENT: There is nothing to explain, as far as I can see. The deponent says he recognizes the document as an article written under the name of OSPIMA, and then he goes on to say he certifies that the article was published under the name of OSPIMA, with his consent. You don't deny the truth of that, so why do you want him called for cross-examination?

MR. CUNNINGFAM: It is my thought that it would affect the probative value of the document, that if I stated that a newspaper reporter went to his home and asked him for an interview and published the results of the interview.

THE PRESIDENT: "ell, it's not a case for calling the deponent for cross-examination, but there is nothing to prevent OSHIMA from going into the box and giving his version of the interview, of course, but not while the prosecution are putting their case. So the application to have him called

for cross-examination is dismissed.

ment No. 587-A, which is excerpt from exhibit No. 1299, will be given exhibit No. 1299-A.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
No. 1299-A and received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKER: Before I ask the Court to bear with me while I read parts of that exhibit I would ask for a ruling from your Honor to be allowed to withdraw the original newspaper and substitute a photostat copy of it, the complete newspaper, in order to be able to withdraw the original from Court.

THE PRESIDENT: You have the Tribunal's permission to do that.

MRS. STROOKER: "e will now read parts of exhibit 1299-A. At the top of page 1: (Reading) "Activate the Alliance.

"Shun Diplomatic Passivity.

"One cannot fail to be deeply stirred by the fact that a three-power alliance with Germany and Italy has been concluded an Imperial Rescript has been issued on the subject, and the road which lies ahead of Japan's millions has been made clear."

We will continue reading from the beginning of

the second paragraph from page two onward.

(Reading) "Indeed, from one point of view, as this treaty calls for our cooperation in establishing the new order of Germany and Italy in Europe, an additional responsibility is imposed upon us, and we have to make up our minds to this. Furthermore and in particular, as there are nations in the world desirous of maintaining the old system, there will be cases where obstruction is offered to the attainment of the common sims of the three-powers. "e must not count upon this not happening, but rether upon having to expect it, and the nation, with unswerving resolution, must make careful preparations for this. Unfortunately, in recent years there has been a tendency for all sorts of conflicting opinions to arise within the country and for useless and indeed harmful friction and disputes to develop. If this sort of thing continues in the future, we shall certainly not be able to accomplish our mission in the world; indeed we should realize that the result might unexpectedly imperil the future of the Empire. If in the past there may have been a lack of clarity on some points, now, with the conclusion of this new alliance, the great objective for which we must strive, the unexampled task of founding a

24

25

2

3

4

new world order stands illuminated, as when a shaft of sunlight pierces a bank of cloud. Our people must not indulge in vain bickerings but stand foursquare, ready to burst through any barrier to the achievement of our aims. Then a great work is undertaken, certain attendant risks are to be expected. This year is the 2600th year of the Imperial Dynasty. If we cast our minds back to the founding of the country in the distant days of the Emperor Jummu, or if we consider the two wars with Crina and Russia in more recent times, we realize that the YAMOTO race has never flinched from danger, but has gone steadily forward, staking its destiny on spreading the benefits of the Imperial "ay. But never have resolute determination and firm courage been so necessary if our nation is to fulfill its mission as they are today."

23

24

olf & Yelden

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

To till road the second paragraph on page 3: "To return to the main argument, if there are some who consider the conclusion of the threepower alliance imposes an additional strain on Japanese-American relations, I think this opinion mistaken. Our mission to set up a new order in Greater East Asia and our determination to establish a relationship with the 'Southern countries' conducive to mutual harmony and prosperity, are already matters of long standing, and it cannot be supposed that the new alliance has given rise to them. Of course, in some circles in America, there are likely to be those who viel the new treaty as a warlike challenge directed against America. But such feelings will certainly not arise in the minds of those who read the treaty with any composure. Furthermore, I suppose nobody knows better than America herself that Japan neither plans to attack America, nor is she prepared to do so. One cannot, therefore, avoid the conclusion that those who maintain this opinion either dislike the idea of a new order being established in East Asia, or have designs upon East Asia themselves. And I feel we should have to face opposition from these people whether the treaty existed or not. Indeed, should the feeling arise in America that she

should obstruct our establishment of a new order in East Asia by force of arms, this alliance is more likely to have the effect of nipping such a senseless idea in the bud."

I will continue reading on the top of page 4:

"The treaty is now in writing. But its concrete realization is work for the future. present state of the world being what it is, the treaty must be activated with all speed. And the first essential step to this is to complete our preparations for action rapidly. Now what concrete steps should we take with regard to our position of leadership in Greater East Asia under the treaty? We must establish a relationship of mutual harmony and prosperity with the Netherlands East Indies, French Indo-China, India, the South Sea Islands, etc.; we must then settle the relationship of this area with the new order in Europe; these are the points on which consultation with Germany and Italy is necessary. From the military point of view, too; cooperation is necessary between the nations of the East and the West. We must perfect a plan which will leave no gaps in the bonds of mutual cooperation between the three countries; and in the diplomatic

17

18

16

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

22

23

field, too; the three countries must march ahead in perfect concord to protect our common interests against any outside country. Furthermore, as the policy of Germany and Italy for prosecuting the war in Europe is so closely bound up with the establishment of a new order in East Asia, discussions will be necessary in this connection also. This being the case, there is a tremendous amount of preliminary work to be done with regard to a relationship with Germany and Italy, and within our own country itself.

"Of all things the one most to be avoided is passivity, allowing the other party to make the first move. Particularly in war and diplomacy is this prohibition absolutely imperative. Careful preparations must be made before the event; the world situation must be constantly watched; care must be taken to let slip no opportunities; situations must be handled speedily and decisively. I believe that these must be the principles of our diplomatic policy from new on, and that with this in mind the people must stand solidly behind the government.

"The country's policy has already been clearly laid down, so anxiety about the matter is uncalled for; but if by any chance faith in this

alliance should waver, or if it should be mismanaged and the treaty become a dead letter, then not only will the dignity of the Empire be impaired, but also the task of setting up a new order will be made more difficult."

The Netherlands Indies were publicly included in Japan's Greater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere in a speech before the 76th Session of the Diet by Foreign Minister Latsucka on 21 January 1941. This speech is contained in prosecution document 1204-E, which we now offer in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 1204-E will receive exhibit No. 1300.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1300 and received in evidence.)

MRS. STROOKER: We will read only the last two paragraphs on page 3 of the English text.

(Reading) "Let me now make a brief survey of our relations with the Netherlands East Indies, French Indo-China, and Thailand, which lie within the above-mentioned sphere of common prosperity.

"The Netherlands East Indies and French
Indo-China, if only for geographical reasons, should

be in intimate and inseparable relationship with our country. Therefore, the situation which has hitherto thwarted the development of this natural relationship must be thoroughly remedied and relations of good neighborliness secured for the promotion of mutual prosperity. With this in view, early in September last, the Government despatched Mr. Ichizo KOBAYASHI, Minister of Commerce and Industry, to the Netherlands East Indies as a special envoy. M1. KOBAYASHI was obliged to return to Japan by circumstances preventing his prolonged sojourn abroad, when a definite stage had been reached in his negotiations with the Netherlands East Indies authorities concerning purchases of oil and other urgent questions. As his successor, the Government have recently sent to the Netherlands East Indies Mr. Kenkichi YOSHIZAWA, formerly Minister of Foreign Affairs. He had already resumed the negotiations with the Netherlands East Indies authorities."

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We offer in evidence prosecution document 2748-A, being a certificate from the Japanese Foreign Office, certifying prosecution documents 2748-A (1) to 2748-A (20), some of which will presently be introduced in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 1 No. 2748-A will receive exhibit No. 1301. 2 (Whereupon, the document above 3 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1301 and received in evidence.) 6 MRS. STROOKER: We will not read this exhibit. We offer in evidence prosecution document 9 2748-A (11), a telegram from Foreign Minister 10 MATSUOKA to the Japanese delegate in Batavia, 11 dated 28 January 1941, to show that after public 12 inclusion of the Netherlands Indies in the Greater 13 East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere the Japanese Foreign 14 Minister sent out instructions that the fact that the 15 Netherla: 3 Indies formed part of this sphere should 16 henceforth not be publicly denied. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 18 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 19 No. 2748-A (11) will receive exhibit No. 1302. 20 (Whereupon, the document above 21 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 22 No. 1302 and received in evidence.) 23 MRS. STROOKER: We will read exhibit No. 1302: 24 "Telegram No. 2524 25

"January 28, 1941

7:00 P.M.

"A copy of a telegram from Foreign Minister MATSUOKA to Delegate YOSHIZAWA in Batavia. "Concerning Delegate YOSHIZAWA's statement. "Cipher Commercial Negotiation No. 24. "Strictly Secret. Very Urgent. "Concerning your telegram, Commercial Negotiation No. 33. It goes without saying that you should absolutely refrain from the usage of such expressions that would deny Japan's hegemony within the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Schere when announcing to outsiders." We offer in evidence prosecution document 1632-W (47), the entry for 1 February 1941 in the diary of the accused KIDO, exhibit 178 for identification, to show that at that time Japanese expansion southward was considered the main problem facing the Japanese government. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 1632-W (47) will receive exhibit No. 1303. (Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit

IRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit

No. 1303 and received in evidence.)

No. 1303:

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"Entry from Marquis KIDO's Diary.

"1 February (Saturday) /1941/

"Fine.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Went to the office at 10 A.M., and spoke with Premier KONOYE. Prince FUSHIMI, Chief of the Naval General Staff, Premier KONOYE and SUGIYAMA, Chief of the Army General Staff came to the Palace together. They were received in audience by His Majesty and reported in outline to the Emperor the policy towards French Indo-China and Thailand decided at the Liaison Conference between Imperial Headquarters and the Government on 30 January. It is a new precedent for the Chiefs of Staff, and the Premier to be received in audience by His Majesty and to report at the same time. Up to the present, important decisions of the Liaison Conferences have been reported to the Throne at Imperial Conferences, and other decisions individually by the government and Imperial Headquarters. However, this time as an intermediate way both parties have presented a report to the Throne at the same time. I was told that in this connection the Premier proposed that an Imperial Conference be held, but as the broad principle had already been approved by the Emperor, they asked Him in this way. At 10:10 the Chief Aide-de-Camp visited me and spoke approximately as follows:

"Re the general principle of the policy towards French Indo-China and Thailand:

"The purpose of this plan is to establish the leading position of the Empire in French Indo-China and Thailand by utilizing the opportunity presented by their having accepted our arbitration, in order to contribute to the preparation for the Southward policy. The Navy aims to use Camranh Bay and the air bases near Saigon. But as this cannot be stated openly it has been decided to represent the action taken as aimed at the preservation of trade and communications, and security against war between French Indo-China and Thailand. In case military force is to be used to attain the objective, it has been decided to ask the further approval of the Emperor.

"At 11:30 Prince KONOYE came to my office after having been received in audience by His Majesty and we talked about policies and other matters.

"At noon Foreign Minister MATSUOKA came to my office after having been received in audience by the Emperor, and spoke approximately as follows:

"When the broad policy towards French "

2 3

1

4

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

Indo-China and Thailand was decided, the Army planned to limit the time to the end of March, but he had opposed it as being impossible, and had made the Army cancel it. He intends to conduct future diplomacy on the following lines as reported today to the Emperor. It is assumed that the liaison conference on the third will decide on the plan which he will take with him on his visit to Germany and Soviet Russia. He will shortly visit Germany and find out the actual state of German policy towards Britain from HI/ T.N. Hitler/, R I/ T.N. Ribbentrop/ and others, and he will make full arrangements with them. At the same time he would like to adjust our relations with the Soviet Union and to contrive a general peace with China by the end of April. Then he intends to concentrate our whole strength toward the South. Without a solution of the Southern question, no real solution of the China Incident can be attained; therefore, the Southern question is an important one, one on which the nation's fate hangs. For this reason, he continued, it would be necessary to bring about a state of things which would enable the whole energy of the nation to be concentrated on it. And so forth. "At 7:30 P.M. Mr. Genki ABE called on me and

we talked."

We respectfully call the Tribunal's attention to court exhibit 571, being a report of a conversation between the German Foreign Minister and the accused OSHIMA on 23 February 1941, during which the principles for the construction of Germany's and Japan's spheres after the war were discussed.

y

We offer in evidence prosecution document 4038-D, being a memorandum for the German Foreign Minister concerning German-Japanese economic relations during and after the war. As this document is in the German language only those parts on which the prosecution intends to rely have been translated. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 4038-D will receive exhibit No. 1304. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 1304 and received in evidence.) MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit No. 1304: "Berlin, 21 March, 1941 "Notes on German-Japanese Economic Questions for the Conversation with the Japanese Foreign Minister. "2 (b). Raw Material Orders through Japan. We shall have to buy raw materials from third countries through Japan and get them into Germany, e.g. rubber and tin from the Netherlands Indies and Thailand; wolfram from South China; tin from olivia. For this purpose we are ready to place foreign bills of exchange at the disposal of Japan. Thus far, in deference to England and America, and because of her own supply situation

1

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

23

and lack of tonnage, and so forth, Japan has done little in this direction. But even where such reasons did not exist, bureaucratic restraints and involved procedural regulations have produced difficulties and delays.

On the basis of a promise by MATSUOKA, the Wohlthat Delegation should probably be able to obtain improvements.

"We are likewise counting on Japanese help with blockederunners and auxiliary cruisers for the transportation of such raw materials to Germany.

the War. According to the German conception, the great possibilities which exist for the new order of economic relations between the European-African economic sphere under the leadership of Germany and Italy and the Greater Asiatic economic sphere under the leader of Japan can only be fully realized if matters are carried out in a grand manner. For this reason the freest possible trade exchange should take place. As a matter of principle one should reserve to oneself preferences over third countries. Over-centralization with its unavoidable hindrances should be shunned. Rather, Japan should be able to carry on business and make trade agreements directly with the independent countries in the German-Italian Sphere, and conversely, Germany and Italy with

3 4

the independent countries in the Japanese Sphere. On the other hand, the Japanese conception according to previous statements of government representatives in Tokyo is that Germany should have trade dealings with countries like China, Indo-China and also the Netherlands Indies not directly, but only through Japan. No fundamental aggravation of this question has yet occurred, as we have been dependent anyway on Japanese support in imports in our trade during the war with the countries mentioned.

"Hereby offered through the State Secretary to the German Foreign Minister.

"Signed Wiehl"

We respectfully call the Tribunal's attention to Court exhibit 580, being a report of a conversation between the German Foreign Minister and the Japanese Foreign Minister on 29 March 1941, in which once again an attack toward the South and the construction of German and Japanese spheres of domination were discussed and during which the Japanese Foreign Minister expressed his fear that if Japan attacked the Netherlands Indies the Netherlands Indies' oil fields would be set on fire.

We offer in evidence prosecution document 2137-F, a decision by Imperial Headquarters in April 1941. This is one of the group of documents included

in prosecution document 2137, which has heretofore been marked exhibit 540 for identification. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2137-F will receive exhibit No. 1305. (Whereupon, the document above 5 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 6 No. 1305 and received in evidence.) 7 MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit No. 1305: 9 " COVER " 10 "Separate Document 13 11 "Determined by Army and Navy Department, Imperial 12 Headquarters, in early April, SHOWA 16/1941/. 13 "Gist of Imperial Headquarters, Army and Navy 14 Department Policy concerning Measures to be taken in the South. "Determined by Imperial Headquarters, in early 17 18 April SHOWA 16/1941/. "I. The aims of the measures to be taken by 19 the Empire in the South are to promote the settlement of the China Incident as well as to expand our overall 22 national defensive power in the interests of self-23 existence and self-defense. 24 "For these purposes, 25

```
"1.
                 To establish close and inseparable joint
 1 relations in military affairs, politics and economy
 2 with French Indo-China and Thailand.
            "2. To establish close economic relations
   with the Netherland Indies.
            "3. To maintain normal commercial relations
 6 with the other various countries in the South.
                  The foregoing purposes shall, on
  principle, be accomplished through diplomatic measures.
 9
            "III. In executing the foregoing measures
  resort to arms in the interest of self-existence and
self-defence will be taken only when the following instances
12 should occur and when no means for solution of same can
13 be found:-
14
                In case the Empire's Self-existence should
15 be threatened by the Embargoes of the United States,
16 Great Britain and the Netherlands.
           "2. In case the situation of the Anti-Japanese
17
18 encirclement by the United States, Great Britain, the
19 Netherlands and China becomes so tense that it cannot
20 be tolerated in the interests of national defense."
21
           In June 1941, the Japanese attempts to obtain
22 a foothold in the Netherlands Indies, on which subject
23 Evidence will be presented hereafter, had failed. The
24 next four documents will show that preparations were
25
```

made to occupy bases in the southern part of French Indo-China, needed for a military advance into the Netherlands Indies. We offer in evidence prosecution document 2137-H, a further document from exhibit 540 for identification, being a decision of the Liaison Conference between the government and Imperial Headquarters dated 25 June 1941. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 10 No. 2137-H will receive exhibit No. 1306. 11 (Whereupon, the document above re-12 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 13 No. 1306 and received in evidence.) 14 MRS. STROOKER: We will now read exhibit No. 15 1306: 16 "Re Acceleration of Measures in the South 17 " Decision of Liaison Conference. " 18 "25 June 1941/Showa 16/ 19 "(Memorandum) 20 "Decision of the Imperial Headquarters-21 22 Government Liaison Conference, 25 June 1941/Showa 16/ "1. In view of the various existing conditions, 23 24 the Empire shall, in accordance with its fixed policy

25 accelerate its measures towards French Indo-China and

Thailand. Expecially, in connection with the return of the Japanese Delegate from the Dutch Indies, a military union shall be established with French Indo-China as soon as possible for the purpose of the stability and defence of East Asia. "Concerning the establishment of joint military 6 relations with French Indo-China, the essential factors 7 which the Empire should stress upon are as follows:-"a) The establishment or use of Air Bases and 9 Harbour Facilities in specified areas in French Indo-10 China, and stationing of the necessary troops in the 11 southern part of French Indo-China. 12 "b) Furnishing of facilities in connection 13 with the stationing of Imperial troops. 14 To open diplomatic negotiations for the 15 16 purpose of the preceding paragraph. "3. In case the French Government or the 17 French Indo-China authorities do not comply with our 18 demands, we shall attain our objective by force of arms. 19 "4. In order to deal with such circumstance 20 as mentioned in the above paragraph, preparations shall 21 be commenced beforehand for the despatching of troops." 22

23

The Tribunal's attention is invited to exhibit 639-A, a telegram from Bangkok to Berlin, dated 4 July 1941. With the Tribunal's permission we will read the heading and one paragraph of this exhibit:

"To be kept under lock and key.

"Telegram (Secret Cipher Process)

"Bangkok, July 4, 1941 - 1:05 o'clock

"Received July 4, 1941 - 20:10 o'clock

"No. 169 of 7/4

Urgent

"Secret Affair of the Reica,

"Likewise for the Attache Division of the High Command of the Army and Naval High Command.

"The Japanese Secretary of Embassy, Furuuchi des Gaimusho, who is on an orientation journey, confidentially informed the Military Attache:"

We will now read paragraph 3:

"3) Failure of Japan's economic negotiations with the Netherlands-Indies would oblige her to take over the oil resources there by force, since her fleet would otherwise be incapable of action. Prior to this there is to be a Japanese military occupation of Indo-China in order to procure a concentration area and jumping-off ports against the Netherland-Indies. The occupation of Thailand is

not envisaged. The preparation and the carrying out of the operations is to be made by the staff of General USHIROKU on the South China Front, in Canton. Conduct of the English forces in Singapore is considered to be purely defensive."

We will read the last few lines of the telegram on page 2 of the exhibit:

"A telegram of the same tenor was sent to Tokyo.

"Schol

"Thomas

"Note: Through the telegraph office expedited to the Code Sender of the High Command of the Wehrmacht and the Naval High Command.

"Telegram Ktr. - July 5, 1941."

*1

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

25

The Tribunal's attention is invited to exhibit 588, being a document containing the resolutions adopted through the Imperial Conferences of 2 July, 6 September, 5 November and 1 December 1941. In the Imperial Conference of 2 July 1941 the principle of Japan's policy was laid down in three points:

- 3. Japan would adhere to the principles of establishing a Greater East Asia Co-prosperity Sphere regardless of any change in the international situation.
- Japan would step up the southward advance in order to establish for herself a basis for selfexistence and self-defense.
- 3. Japan would remove all obstacles for the achievement of the foregoing purpose."

THE PRESIDENT: Mrs. Strooker, my colleagues and I who have heard you assure you that we regard you as a distinct acquisition to the Bar of this Tribunal.

MRS. STROOKER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: We adjourn now until half past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Wednesday, 4 December, 1946, at 0930.)